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## 0

## Introduction

The alr3 package for use with R was substantially rewritten in 2010. In particular: (1) nearly all function names have changed, and most have been moved to a package called car; (2) the instructions for installing alr3 have changed slightly to load install car and load it whenever alr3 is installed or loaded; (3) the new alr3 package works with R but not with S-Plus; the old version of alr3 continues to work with S-Plus.

This computer primer supplements the book Applied Linear Regression (ALR), third edition, by Sanford Weisberg, published by John Wiley \& Sons in 2005. It shows you how to do the analyses discussed in ALR using one of several general-purpose programs that are widely available throughout the world. All the programs have capabilities well beyond the uses described here. Different programs are likely to suit different users. We expect to update the primer periodically, so check www.stat.umn.edu/alr to see if you have the most recent version. The versions are indicated by the date shown on the cover page of the primer.

Our purpose is largely limited to using the packages with ALR, and we will not attempt to provide a complete introduction to the packages. If you are new to the package you are using you will probably need additional reference material.

There are a number of methods discussed in ALR that are not a standard part of statistical analysis, and some methods are not possible without writing
your own programs to supplement the package you choose. The exceptions to this rule is $R$, for which packages are available to do everything in the book.

Here are the programs for which primers are available.
$\mathbf{R}$ is a command line statistical package, which means that the user types a statement requesting a computation or a graph, and it is executed immediately. You will be able to use a package of functions for $R$ that will let you use all the methods discussed in ALR; we used $R$ when writing the book.

R also has a programming language that allows automating repetitive tasks. R is a favorite program among academic statisticians because it is free, works on Windows, Linux/Unix and Macintosh, and can be used in a great variety of problems. There is also a large literature developing on using $R$ for statistical problems. The main website for $R$ is www.r-project.org. From this website you can get to the page for downloading R by clicking on the link for CRAN, or, in the US, going to cran.us.r-project.org.

Documentation is available for R on-line, from the website, and in several books. We can strongly recommend two books. The book $A n R$ Companion to Applied Regression by Fox and Weisberg (2011) provides a fairly gentle introduction to R with emphasis on regression. The Companion also provides a comprehensive treatment of a package called car that implements most of the ideas in ALR. A more comprehensive though somewhat dated introduction to R is Venables and Ripley (2002), and we will use the notation VR[3.1], for example, to refer to Section 3.1 of that book. Venables and Ripley has more computerese than does the Companion, but its coverage is greater. Other books on R include Verzani (2005), Maindonald and Braun (2002), Venables and Smith (2002), and Dalgaard (2002).
We used R Version 2.10.1 on Windows and Linux to write update this primer. A new version of $R$ is released twice a year, so the version you use will probably be newer. If you have a fast internet connection, downloading and upgrading R is easy, and you should do it regularly.

SAS is the largest and most widely distributed statistical package in both industry and education. SAS also has a GUI. While it is possible to do some data analysis using the SAS GUI, the strength of this program is in the ability to write SAS programs, in the editor window, and then submit them for execution, with output returned in an output window. We will therefore view SAS as a batch system, and concentrate mostly on writing SAS commands to be executed. The website for SAS is www.sas.com.
SAS is very widely documented, including hundreds of books available through amazon.com or from the SAS Institute, and extensive on-line documentation. Muller and Fetterman (2003) is dedicated particularly
to regression. We used Version 9.1 for Windows. We find the on-line documentation that accompanies the program to be invaluable, although learning to read and understand SAS documentation isn't easy.
Although SAS is a programming language, adding new functionality can be very awkward and require long, confusing programs. These programs could, however, be turned into SAS macros that could be reused over and over, so in principle SAS could be made as useful as R. We have not done this, but would be delighted if readers would take on the challenge of writing macros for methods that are awkward with SAS. Anyone who takes this challenge can send us the results (sandy@stat.umn.edu) for inclusion in later revisions of the primer.
We have, however, prepared script files that give the programs that will produce all the output discussed in this primer; you can get the scripts from www.stat.umn.edu/alr.

JMP is another product of SAS Institute, and was designed around a clever and useful GUI. A student version of JMP is available. The website is www.jmp.com. We used JMP Version 5.1 on Windows.
Documentation for the student version of JMP, called JMP-In, comes with the book written by Sall, Creighton and Lehman (2005), and we will write JMP-START[3] for Chapter 3 of that book, or JMP-START[P360] for page 360 . The full version of JMP includes very extensive manuals; the manuals are available on CD only with JMP-In. Fruend, Littell and Creighton (2003) discusses JMP specifically for regression.
JMP has a scripting language that could be used to add functionality to the program. We have little experience using it, and would be happy to hear from readers on their experience using the scripting language to extend JMP to use some of the methods discussed in ALR that are not possible in JMP without scripting.

SPSS evolved from a batch program to have a very extensive graphical user interface. In the primer we use only the GUI for SPSS, which limits the methods that are available. Like SAS, SPSS has many sophisticated tools for data base management. A student version is available. The website for SPSS is www.spss.com. SPSS offers hundreds of pages of documentation, including SPSS (2003), with Chapter 26 dedicated to regression models. In mid-2004, amazon.com listed more than two thousand books for which "SPSS" was a keyword. We used SPSS Version 12.0 for Windows. A newer version is available.

This is hardly an exhaustive list of programs that could be used for regression analysis. If your favorite package is missing, please take this as a challenge: try to figure out how to do what is suggested in the text, and write your own primer! Send us a PDF file (sandy@stat.umn.edu) and we will add it to our website, or link to yours.

One program missing from the list of programs for regression analysis is Microsoft's spreadsheet program Excel. While a few of the methods described in the book can be computed or graphed in Excel, most would require great endurance and patience on the part of the user. There are many add-on statistics programs for Excel, and one of these may be useful for comprehensive regression analysis; we don't know. If something works for you, please let us know!

A final package for regression that we should mention is called Arc. Like R, Arc is free software. It is available from www.stat.umn.edu/arc. Like JMP and SPSS it is based around a graphical user interface, so most computations are done via point-and-click. Arc also includes access to a complete computer language, although the language, lisp, is considerably harder to learn than the S or SAS languages. Arc includes all the methods described in the book. The use of Arc is described in Cook and Weisberg (1999), so we will not discuss it further here; see also Weisberg (2005).

### 0.1 ORGANIZATION OF THIS PRIMER

The primer often refers to specific problems or sections in ALR using notation like ALr[3.2] or ALR[A.5], for a reference to Section 3.2 or Appendix A.5, ALR[P3.1] for Problem 3.1, ALR[F1.1] for Figure 1.1, ALR[E2.6] for an equation and ALR[T2.1] for a table. Reference to, for example, "Figure 7.1," would refer to a figure in this primer, not to ALR. Chapters, sections, and homework problems are numbered in this primer as they are in ALR. Consequently, the section headings in primer refers to the material in ALR, and not necessarily the material in the primer. Many of the sections in this primer don't have any material because that section doesn't introduce any new issues with regard to computing. The index should help you navigate through the primer.

There are four versions of this primer, one for $R$, and one for each of the other packages. All versions are available for free as PDF files at www.stat.umn.edu/alr.

Anything you need to type into the program will always be in this font. Output from a program depends on the program, but should be clear from context. We will write File to suggest selecting the menu called "File," and Transform $\rightarrow$ Recode to suggest selecting an item called "Recode" from a menu called "Transform." You will sometimes need to push a button in a dialog, and we will write "push ok" to mean "click on the button marked 'OK'." For non-English versions of some of the programs, the menus may have different names, and we apologize in advance for any confusion this causes.
$\mathbf{R}$ Most of the graphs and computer output in ALR were produced with R. The computer code we give in this primer may not reproduce the graphs exactly, since we have tweaked some of the graphs to make them look prettier for publication, and the tweaking arguments work a little differently in R. If you want to see the tweaks we used in R, look at the scripts, Section 0.4 .

Table 0.1 The data file htwt.txt.

```
Ht Wt
169.671.2
166.8 58.2
157.156
181.1 64.5
158.4 53
165.6 52.4
166.7 56.8
156.549.2
168.1 55.6
165.377.8
```


### 0.2 DATA FILES

### 0.2.1 Documentation

Documentation for nearly all of the data files is contained in ALR; look in the index for the first reference to a data file. Separate documentation can be found in the file alr3data.pdf in PDF format at the web site www. stat.umn.edu/alr.

The data are available in a package for R , in a library for SAS, and as a directory of files in special format for JMP and SPSS. In addition, the files are available as plain text files that can be used with these, or any other, program. Table 0.1 shows a copy of one of the smallest data files called htwt.txt, and described in ALr[P3.1]. This file has two variables, named $H t$ and $W t$, and ten cases, or rows in the data file. The largest file is wm5.txt with 62,040 cases and 14 variables. This latter file is so large that it is handled differently from the others; see Section 0.2.5.

A few of the data files have missing values, and these are generally indicated in the file by a place-holder in the place of the missing value. For example, for R, the placeholder is NA, while for SAS it is a period "." Different programs handle missing values a little differently; we will discuss this further when we get to the first data set with a missing value in Section 4.5 .

### 0.2.2 R data files and a package

The instructions for downloading the alr3 package have changed as of 2010. Do not use the Packages $\rightarrow$ Install package(s) menu item as it will not load all the needed packages at once.

All the data files are collected into an R package named alr3. In addition, many functions you will find useful for the topics of ALR are now in a different package called car. When you install alr3 on your computer using the following command, car will be downloaded as well:

```
> install.packages("alr3", dependencies=TRUE)
```

Follow the on-screen instructions to select a mirror site close to your home, and then select alr3 from the list of available packages. By setting dependencies=TRUE car and several other packages will be obtained and installed.

Once you have installed the package, all you need to access a data file is to load the package,
> library(alr3)
(or library (alr3,lib.loc="mylib/") if you installed your own Linux/Unix library). The alr3 package uses something called "lazy loading" of data sets, so all the data sets are immediately available for your use. For example, simply typing

```
> forbes
```

|  | Temp | Pressure | Lpres |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 194.5 | 20.79 | 131.8 |
| 2 | 194.3 | 20.79 | 131.8 |
| 3 | 197.9 | 22.40 | 135.0 |
| 4 | 198.4 | 22.67 | 135.6 |
| 5 | 199.4 | 23.15 | 136.5 |
| 6 | 199.9 | 23.35 | 136.8 |
| 7 | 200.9 | 23.89 | 137.8 |
| 8 | 201.1 | 23.99 | 138.0 |
| 9 | 201.4 | 24.02 | 138.1 |
| 10 | 201.3 | 24.01 | 138.0 |
| 11 | 203.6 | 25.14 | 140.0 |
| 12 | 204.6 | 26.57 | 142.4 |
| 13 | 209.5 | 28.49 | 145.5 |
| 14 | 208.6 | 27.76 | 144.3 |
| 15 | 210.7 | 29.04 | 146.3 |
| 16 | 211.9 | 29.88 | 147.5 |
| 17 | 212.2 | 30.06 | 147.8 |

prints the forbes data set. Data files in the text are always named with an ending of ".txt" which is not used in the alr3 package. You can add a new variable to the data frame by typing, for example

```
> forbes$logTemp <- log2(forbes$Temp)
```

to add the base-two logarithm of Temperature to the data frame. There are similar functions $\log$ for natural logs and $\log 10$ for base-ten logarithms

You can view the documentation for the data sets on-line. The most elegant method is to enter the command help.start() into $R$. This will start your web browser, if it is not already running. Click on "Packages," and then on "alr3." You will then get an alphabetical listing of all the data files and functions in the alr3 package, and you can select the one you want to see.

### 0.2.3 Two files missing from the alr3 package

The two datafiles anscombe and longley are available, with those names, in the datasets library that is part of R. For anscombe the variable names are as described in the textbook on page 12. For longley the variable names are slightly different from the names given on page 94; type ?longley to get the variable names.

### 0.2.4 Getting the data in text files

You can download the data as a directory of plain text files, or as individual files; see www.stat.umn.edu/alr/data. Missing values on these files are indicated with NA. If your program does not use this missing value character, you may need to substitute a different character using an editor.

### 0.2.5 An exceptional file

The file wm5.txt is not included in any of the compressed files, or in the libraries. This one file is nearly five megabytes long, requiring as much space as all the other files combined. If you need this file, for ALr[P10.12], you can download it separately from www.stat.umn.edu/alr/data.

### 0.3 A COMPANION TO APPLIED REGRESSION

The book An $R$ Companion to Applied Regression by Fox and Weisberg (2011) provides a description of the car package, including all the functions that were in the early versions of alr3 that have been renamed, improved and moved to car. This book provides a considerably more comprehensive introduction to R than is available in this primer. We will refer to the Companion with references like Companion[3.1] for Section 3.1. Throughout this Primer you will be using functions in car. When you load the alr3 package car is automatically loaded as well so you don't need to do anything special to use car. Sample chapters of the Companion are available from the Sage website, http://www.sagepub.com/books/Book233899.

### 0.4 SCRIPTS

For R and SAS, we have prepared script files that can be used while reading this primer. For R, the scripts will reproduce nearly every computation shown in ALR; indeed, these scripts were used to do the calculations in the first place. For SAS, the scripts correspond to the discussion given in this primer, but
will not reproduce everything in ALR. The scripts can be downloaded from www. stat.umn.edu/alr for R or SAS.

For R users, scripts can be obtained while you are running R and also connected to the internet. To get the script for Chapter 2 for this primer, for example, you could type

```
library(alr3)
> alrWeb(pscript = "chapter2")
```

To get the script for Chapter 2 of the text, use

```
> alrWeb(script = "chapter2")
```

In either case, the script will open in a window in your web browser, and you can then save or copy-and-paste the script.

Although both JMP and SPSS have scripting or programming languages, we have not prepared scripts for these programs. Some of the methods discussed in ALR are not possible in these programs without the use of scripts, and so we encourage readers to write scripts in these languages that implement these ideas. Topics that require scripts include bootstrapping and computer intensive methods, ALR[4.6]; partial one-dimensional models, ALR[6.4], inverse response plots, ALR[7.1, 7.3], multivariate Box-Cox transformations, ALR[7.2], Yeo-Johnson transformations, ALR[7.4], and heteroscedasticity tests, ALR[8.3.2]. There are several other places where usability could be improved with a script.

If you write scripts you would like to share with others, let me know (sandy@stat.umn.edu) and I'll make a link to them or add them to the website.

### 0.5 THE VERY BASICS

Before you can begin doing any useful computing, you need to be able to read data into the program, and after you are done you need to be able to save and print output and graphs. All the programs are a little different in how they handle input and output, and we give some of the details here.

### 0.5.1 Reading a data file

Reading data into a program is surprisingly difficult. We have tried to ease this burden for you, at least when using the data files supplied with ALR, by providing the data in a special format for each of the programs. There will come a time when you want to analyze real data, and then you will need to be able to get your data into the program. Here are some hints on how to do it.
$\mathbf{R}$ (See also Companion[2.1]) If you have installed the alr3 package and want to read one of the data files described in ALR, you can follow the in-
structions in Section 0.2.2. If you have not installed the library, or you want to read a different file, use the command read.table to read a plain data file. The general form of this command is:

```
> d <- read.table("filename", header=TRUE, na.strings="?")
```

The filename is a quoted string, like "C:/My Documents/data.txt", giving the name of the data file and its path ${ }^{1}$ In place of the file name you can use

```
> d <- read.table(file.choose(), header=TRUE, na.strings="?")
```

in which case a standard file dialog will open and you can select the file you want in the usual way.

The argument header=TRUE indicates that the first line of the file has variable names (you would say header=FALSE if this were not so, and then the program would assign variable names like $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2$ and so on), and the na.strings="?" indicates that missing values, if any, are indicated by a question mark rather than the default of NA used by R. read.table has many more options; type help (read.table) to learn about them. R has a package called foreign that can be used to read files of other types.

Suppose that the file $\mathrm{C}: \backslash$ My Documents $\backslash m y d a t a \backslash h t w t . t x t$ gave the data in Table 0.1. This file is read by

```
> d <- read.table("C:/My Documents/mydata/htwt.txt", header=TRUE)
```

With Windows, always replace the backslashes $\backslash$ by forward slashes /. While this replacement may not be necessary in all versions of $R$, the forward slashes always work. The na.strings argument can be omitted because this file has no missing data. As a result of this command, a data.frame, roughly like a matrix, is created named d. The two columns of d are called d\$Ht and d\$Wt, with the column names read from the first row of the file because header=TRUE.

In $R$, you can also read the data directly from the internet:

```
> d <-read.table("http://www.stat.umn.edu/alr/data/htwt.txt",
+ header=TRUE)
```

You can get any data file in the book in this way by substituting the file's name, and using the rest of the web address shown. Of course this is unnecessary because all the data files are part of the alr3 package.

R is case sensitive, which means that a variable called weight is different from Weight, which in turn is different from WEIGHT. Also, the command read.table would be different from READ. TABLE. Path names are casesensitive on Linux, but not on Windows.

[^0]
### 0.5.2 Reading Excel Files

(See also Companion[2.1.3]) R is less friendly in working with Excel files ${ }^{2}$, and you should start in Excel by saving the file as a ".csv" file, which is a plain text file, with the items in each row of the file separated by commas. You can then read the ".csv" file with the command read.cvs,

```
> data <- read.csv("ais.csv", header=TRUE)
```

where once again the complete path to the file is required. There are tools described in Companion[2.1.3] for reading Excel spreadsheets directly.

### 0.5.3 Saving text output and graphs

All the programs have many ways of saving text output and graphs. We will make no attempt to be comprehensive here.
$\mathbf{R}$ The easiest way to save printed output is to select it, copy to the clipboard, and paste it into an editor or word processing program. Be sure to use a monospaced-font like Courier so columns line up properly. In R on Windows, you can select File $\rightarrow$ Save to file to save the contents of the text window to a file.

The easiest way to save a graph in R on Windows or Macintosh is via a menu item. The plot has its own menus, and select File $\rightarrow$ Save as $\rightarrow$ filetype, where filetype is the format of the graphics file you want to use. You can copy a graph to the clipboard with a menu item, and then paste it into a word processing document.

In all versions of $R$, you can also save files using a relatively complex method of defining a device, Companion[7.4], for the graph. For example,

```
> pdf("myhist.pdf", horizontal=FALSE, height=5, width=5)
> hist(rnorm(100))
> dev.off()
```

defines a device of type pdf to be saved in the file "myhist.pdf" in the current directory. It will consist of a histogram of 100 normal random numbers. This device remains active until the dev. off command closes the device. The default with pdf is to save the file in "landscape," or horizontal mode to fill the page. The argument horizontal=FALSE orients the graph vertically, and height and width to 5 makes the plotting region a five inches by five inches square.

R has many devices available, including pdf, postscript, jpeg and others; see help(Devices) for a list of devices, and then, for example, help(postscript) for a list of the (many) arguments to the pdf command.

[^1]
### 0.5.4 Normal, $\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{t}$ and $\chi^{\mathbf{2}}$ tables

ALR does not include tables for looking up critical values and significance levels for standard distributions like the $t, F$ and $\chi^{2}$. Although these values can be computed with any of the programs we discuss in the primers, doing so is easy only with R. Also, the computation is fairly easy with Microsoft Excel. Table 0.2 shows the functions you need using Excel.

Table 0.2 Functions for computing $p$-values and critical values using Microsoft Excel. The definitions for these functions are not consistent, sometimes corresponding to two-tailed tests, sometimes giving upper tails, and sometimes lower tails. Read the definitions carefully. The algorithms used to compute probability functions in Excel are of dubious quality, but for the purpose of determining $p$-values or critical values, they should be adequate; see Knsel (2005) for more discussion.

| Function | What it does |
| :--- | :--- |
| normsinv(p) | Returns a value $q$ such that the area to the left of $q$ for <br> a standard normal random variable is $p$. |
| normsdist (q) |  |
| The area to the left of $q$. For example, normsdist (1.96) |  |
| equals 0.975 to three decimals. |  |
| Returns a value $q$ such that the area to the left of $-\|q\|$ |  |
| and the area to the right of $+\|q\|$ for a $t(\mathrm{df})$ distribution |  |
| equals $q$. This gives the critical value for a two-tailed |  |
| test. |  |

$\mathbf{R}$ Table 0.3 lists the six commands that are used to compute significance levels and critical values for $t, F$ and $\chi^{2}$ random variables. For example, to find the significance level for a test with value -2.51 that has a $t(17)$ distribution, type into the text window
> $p t(-2.51,17)$
[1] 0.01124
which returns the area to the left of the first argument. Thus the lower-tailed $p$-value is 0.011241 , the upper tailed $p$-value is $1-.012241=.98876$, and the two-tailed $p$-value is $2 \times .011241=.022482$.

Table 0.3 Functions for computing $p$-values and critical values using R. These functions may have additional arguments useful for other purposes.

| Function | What it does |
| :---: | :---: |
| qnorm(p) | Returns a value $q$ such that the area to the left of $q$ for a standard normal random variable is $p$. |
| pnorm(q) | Returns a value $p$ such that the area to the left of $q$ on a standard normal is $p$. |
| $\mathrm{qt}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{df})$ | Returns a value $q$ such that the area to the left of $q$ on a $t(\mathrm{df})$ distribution equals $q$. |
| pt (q, df) | Returns $p$, the area to the left of $q$ for a $t(d f)$ distribution |
| $\mathrm{qf}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{df} 1, \mathrm{df} 2)$ | Returns a value $q$ such that the area to the left of $q$ on a $F\left(\mathrm{df}_{1}, \mathrm{df}_{2}\right)$ distribution is $p$. For example, $\mathrm{qf}(.95,3,20)$ returns the $95 \%$ points of the $F(3,20)$ distribution. |
| $\mathrm{pf}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{df} 1, \mathrm{df} 2)$ | Returns $p$, the area to the left of $q$ on a $F\left(\mathrm{df}_{1}, \mathrm{df}_{2}\right)$ distribution. |
| qchisq(p,df) | Returns a value $q$ such that the area to the left of $q$ on a $\chi^{2}(\mathrm{df})$ distribution is $p$. |
| pchisq(q, df) | Returns $p$, the area to the left of $q$ on a $\chi^{2}(\mathrm{df})$ distribution. |

### 0.6 ABBREVIATIONS TO REMEMBER

alR refers to the textbook, Weisberg (2005). Companion refers to Fox and Weisberg (2011), and VR refers to Venables and Ripley (2002), our primary reference for R. JMP-Start refers to Sall, Creighton and Lehman (2005), the primary reference for JMP. Information typed by the user looks like this. References to menu items looks like File or Transform $\rightarrow$ Recode. The name of a button to push in a dialog uses this font.

### 0.7 PACKAGES FOR R

The alr3 package described includes data and a few functions that are used in the primer. In addition when you use the alr3 package you will automatically have the functions in the car package and the MASS package available without
the necessity of loading them. We will also make use of a few other packages that others have written that will be helpful for working through ALR. The packages are all described in Table 0.4 . Since all the packages are free, we recommend that you obtain and install them immediately. In particular, instructors should be sure the packages are installed for their students using a Unix/Linux system where packages need to be installed by a superuser.

Table 0.4 R packages that are useful with ALR. All the R packages are available from CRAN, cran.us.r-project.org.

| alr3 | Contains all the data files used in the book, and a few additional functions that implement ideas in the book that are not already part of these programs. The R version is available from CRAN. |
| :---: | :---: |
| MASS | MASS is a companion to Venables and Ripley (2002), and is automatically loaded with alr3. |
| car | The companion to Fox and Weisberg (2011), and is automatically loaded with alr3. |
| nlme | This library fits linear and nonlinear mixed-effects models, which are briefly discussed in Section 6.5. This package is included with the base distribution of both R. This library is described by Pinheiro and Bates (2000). |
| sm | This is a library for local linear smoothing, and used only in Chapter 12. The R version is available from CRAN. |

### 0.8 COPYRIGHT AND PRINTING THIS PRIMER

Copyright (C) 2005, 2011 by Sanford Weisberg. Permission is granted to download and print this primer. Bookstores, educational institutions, and instructors are granted permission to download and print this document for student use. Printed versions of this primer may be sold to students for cost plus a reasonable profit. The website reference for this primer is www.stat.umn.edu/alr. Newer versions may be available from time to time.

# 1 <br> <br> Scatterplots and <br> <br> Scatterplots and Regression 

 Regression}

### 1.1 SCATTERPLOTS

A principal tool in regression analysis is the two-dimensional scatterplot. All statistical packages can draw these plots. We concentrate mostly on the basics of drawing the plot. Most programs have options for modifying the appearance of the plot. For these, you should consult documentation for the program you are using.
$\mathbf{R}$ (See also Companion[1.1]) R scatterplots can be drawn using the function plot. A simple example is:

```
> library(alr3)
> plot(Dheight ~ Mheight, data=heights)
```

The data frame heights is loaded automatically as long as the alr3 library has been loaded. The first argument to the plot function is a formula, with the vertical or $y$-axis variable to the left of the $\sim$ and the horizontal or $x$-axis variable to the right. The second argument is the name of the data frame that includes the variables to be plotted. The resulting graph is shown in Figure 1.1 This same graph can be obtained with other sets of arguments to plot:
> plot(heights\$Mheight, heights\$Dheight)
> with(heights, plot(Mheight, Dheight))
The first of these doesn't require naming the data frame as an argument, but does require naming each variable completely including its data frame name.


Fig. 1.1 A simple version of ALR[F1.1].

The second uses the with function (Companion[2.2.2]), to specify the data frame to be used in the following plot command.

Figure 1.1 doesn't match ALR[F1.1] exactly, but that can be done using some of the myriad optional arguments to plot.

```
> with(heights, plot(Mheight, Dheight, xlim=c(55, 75),
+ ylim=c(55,75), pch=20))
```

The arguments xlim and ylim give the limits on the horizontal and vertical axes, respectively. In the example, both have been set to range from 55 to 75 . The statement c $(55,75)$ should be read as collect the values 55 and 75 into a vector. The argument pch selects the plotting character. Here we have use character 20, which is a small filled circle in R . VR[4.2] give a listing of all the characters available. You can also plot a letter, for example pch="f" would use a lower-case " f " as the plotting character. The argument cex=. 3 controls the size of the plotting symbol, here .3 times the default size. This graph has many points, so a very small symbol is desirable. VR[4.2] discusses most of the other settings for a scatterplot; we will introduce others as we use them. The documentation in R for the function par describes many of the arguments that control the appearance of a plot.

The figure ALR[F1.2] is obtained from ALR[F1.1] by deleting most of the points. Some packages may allow the user to remove/restore points interactively. While this is possible in R , see, for example, Companion[3.5], it
is reasonably tedious. You can simply redraw the figure, after selecting the points you want to appear:

```
> sel <- with(heights,
+ (57.5 < Mheight) & (Mheight <= 58.5) |
+ (62.5 < Mheight) & (Mheight <= 63.5) |
+ (67.5 < Mheight) & (Mheight <= 68.5))
> with(heights, plot(Mheight[sel], Dheight[sel], xlim=c(55,75),
+ ylim=c(55,75), pty="s", pch=20, cex=.3,
+ xlab="Mheight", ylab="Dheight"))
```

The variable sel that results from the first calculation is a vector of values equal to either TRUE and FALSE. It is equal to TRUE if either $57.5<$ Mheight $\leq 58.5$, or $62.5<$ Mheight $\leq 63.5$ or $67.5<$ Mheight $\leq 68.5$. The vertical bar | is the logical "or" operator; type help("|") to get the syntax for other logical operators. Mheight [sel] selects the elements of Mheight with sel equal to TRUE ${ }^{1}$. The xlab and ylab labels are used to label the axes, or else the label for the $x$-axis would have been, for example, Mheight [sel].

ALR[F1.3] adds several new features to scatterplots. First, two graphs are drawn in one window. This is done using the par function, which sets global parameters for graphical windows,

```
> oldpar <- par(mfrow=c(1, 2))
```

meaning that the graphical window will hold an array of graphs with one row and two columns. This choice for the window will be kept until either the graphical window is closed or it is reset using another par command.

To draw ALR[F1.3] we need to find the equation of the line to be drawn, and this is done using the 1 m command, the workhorse in R for fitting linear regression models. We will discuss this at much greater length in the next two chapters beginning in Section 2.4, but for now we will simply use the function.

```
> m0 <- lm(Pressure ~ Temp, data=forbes)
> plot(Pressure ~ Temp, data=forbes, xlab="Temperature",
+ ylab="Pressure")
> abline(m0)
> plot(residuals(m0) ~ Temp, forbes, xlab="Temperature",
+ ylab="Residuals")
> abline(a=0, b=0, lty=2)
> par(oldpar)
```

The ols fit is computed using the 1 m function and assigned the name m0. The first plot draws the scatterplot of Pressure versus Temp. We add the regression line with the function abline. When the argument to abline is the

[^2]name of a regression model, the function gets the information needed from the model to draw the ols line. The second plot draws the second graph, this time of the residuals from model mo on the vertical axis versus Temp on the horizontal axis. A horizontal dashed line is added to this graph by specifying intercept a equal to zero and slope b equal to zero. The argument lty=2 specifies a dashed line; lty=1 would have given a solid line. The last command is unnecessary for drawing the graph, but it returns the value of mfrow to its original state.
$\operatorname{ALR}[F 1.5]$ has two lines added to it, the ols line and the line joining the mean for each value of Age. Here are the commands that draw this graph:

```
> with(wblake, plot(Age, Length))
> abline(lm(Length ~ Age, data=wblake))
> with(wblake, lines(1:8, tapply(Length, Age, mean), lty=2))
```

There are a few new features here. First, in the call to plot we specified the $x$ - and y-axes, in that order, rather than using a formula like Length ~ Age. The call to lm is combined with abline into a single command. The lines command adds lines to an existing plot, joining the points specified. The points have horizontal coordinates 1:8, the integers from one to eight for the Age groups, and vertical coordinates given by the mean Length at each Age. The function tapply can be read "apply the function mean to the variable Length separately for each value of Age."

ALR[F1.7] adds two new features: a separate plotting character for each of the groups, and a legend or key. Here is how this was drawn in R:

```
> plot(Gain ~ A, data=turkey, pch=S,
+ xlab="Amount(percent of diet)",
+ col=S, ylab="Weight gain, g")
> legend("topleft", legend=c("1", "2", "3"), pch=1:3,
+ cex=.6, inset=0.02)
```

$S$ is a variable in the data frame with the values 1,2 , or 3 , so setting $\mathrm{pch}=\mathrm{S}$ sets the plotting character to be a " 1 ," " 2 " or " 3 " depending on the value of $S$. Similarly, setting col=S will set the color of the plotted points to be different for each of the three groups. The first two arguments to the legend set its upper left corner, inset by $2 \%$ as set by the inset argument. The argument legend= is a list of text to show in the legend, and the pch argument tells to show the plotting characters. There are many other options; see the help page for legend or VR[4.3].

### 1.2 MEAN FUNCTIONS

### 1.3 VARIANCE FUNCTIONS

### 1.4 SUMMARY GRAPH

### 1.5 TOOLS FOR LOOKING AT SCATTERPLOTS

$\mathbf{R} \quad \operatorname{alr}[F 1.10]$ adds a smoother to $\operatorname{Alr}[\mathrm{F} 1.1] . \quad \mathrm{R}$ has a wide variety of smoothers available, and all can be added to a scatterplot. Here is how to add a loess smooth using the lowess function.

```
> plot(Dheight ~ Mheight, heights, cex=.1,pch=20)
> abline(lm(Dheight ~ Mheight, heights), lty=1)
> with(heights, lines(lowess(Dheight ~ Mheight, f=6/10,
+ iter=1), lty=2))
```

The lowess specifies the response and predictor in the same way as lm. It also requires a smoothing parameter, which we have set to 0.6. The argument iter also controls the behavior of the smoother; we prefer to set iter=1, not the default value of 3 .

### 1.6 SCATTERPLOT MATRICES

R The R function pairs draws scatterplot matrices. To reproduce an approximation to ALR[F1.11], we must first transform the data to get the variables that are to be plotted, and then draw the plot.

```
> fuel2001 <- transform(fuel2001,
+ Dlic=1000 * Drivers/Pop,
+ Fuel=1000 * FuelC/Pop,
+ Income = Income/1000,
+ logMiles = log2(Miles))
> names(fuel2001)
```

| [1] "Drivers" | "FuelC" | "Income" | "Miles" |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [7] "Tax" | "Dlic" | "Fuel" | "logMiles" | "Pop" |

> pairs(~ Tax + Dlic + Income + logMiles + Fuel, data=fuel2001)

We used the transform to add the transformed variables to the data frame. Variables that reuse existing names, like Income, overwrite the existing variable. Variables with new names, like Dlic, are new columns added to the right of the data frame. The syntax for the transformations is similar to the language C. The variable Dlic $=1000 \times$ Drivers/Pop is the fraction of
the population that has a driver's license times 1000. The variable logMiles is the base-two logarithm of Miles. The log2 function in R can be used to compute logarithms to the base 2, while log and log10 compute natural logs and base-ten logs, respectively. We could have alternatively computed the transformations one at a time, for example

```
> fuel2001$FuelPerDriver <- fuel2001$FuelC / fuel2001$Drivers
```

Typing names(f) gives variable names in the order they appear in the data frame. We specify the variables we want to appear in the plot using a one-sided formula, which consists of a " $\sim$ " followed by the variable names separated by + signs. In place of the one-sided formula, you could also use a two-sided formula, putting the response Fuel to the left of the $\sim$, but not on the right. Finally, you can replace the formula by a matrix or data frame, so

```
> pairs(fuel2001[, c(7,9,3,11,10)])
```

would give the scatterplot matrix for columns $7,9,3,11,10$ of the data frame $f$, resulting in the same plot as before.

The function scatterplotMatrix in the car package provides a more elaborate version of scatterplot matrices. The help file for the function, or ComPANION[3.3.2], can provide information.

## Problems

1.1. Boxplots would be useful in a problem like this because they display level (median) and variability (distance between the quartiles) simultaneously. These can be drawn in R with the boxplot command, Companion[3.1.4].
$\mathbf{R}$ The tapply can be used to get the standard deviations for each value of Age. In R, the function sd computes the standard deviation.

In R, the command plot(Length ~ as.factor(Age), data=wblake) will produce the boxplot, while plot (Length ~ Age, data=wblake) produces a scatterplot. The as.factor command converts a numeric variable into a categorical variable.
1.2.
$\mathbf{R}$ You can resize a graph without redrawing it by using the mouse to move the lower right corner on the graph.
1.3.
$\mathbf{R}$ Remember that either logb(UN1\$Fertility,2) or $\log 2($ UN1 1 Fertility) gives the base-two logarithm, Companion[3.4.1].

## 2 <br> Simple Linear Regression

### 2.1 ORDINARY LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION

All the computations for simple regression depend on only a few summary statistics; the formulas are given in the text, and in this section we show how to do the computations step-by-step. All computer packages will do these computations automatically, as we show in Section 2.6 .

### 2.2 LEAST SQUARES CRITERION

$\mathbf{R}$ All the sample computations shown in ALR are easily reproduced in R. First, get the right variables from the data frame, and compute means. The computation is simpler in R :

```
> forbes1 <- forbes[, c(1, 3)]
> print(fmeans <- colMeans(forbes1))
    Temp Lpres
203.0 139.6
```

The colleans function computes the mean of each column of a matrix or data frame; the rowMeans function does the same for row means.

Next, we need the sums of squares and cross-products. Since the sample covariance matrix is just $(n-1)$ times the matrix of sums of squares and cross-products, we can use the function cov:

```
> fcov <- (17 - 1) * cov(forbes1)
```

All the regression summaries depend only on the sample means and this matrix. Assign names to the components, and do the computations in the book:

```
> xbar <- fmeans[1]
> ybar <- fmeans[2]
> SXX <- fcov[1,1]
> SXY <- fcov[1,2]
> SYY <- fcov[2,2]
> betahat1 <- SXY/SXX
> print(round(betahat1, 3))
```

[1] 0.895

```
> betahat0 <- ybar - betahat1 * xbar
> print(round(betahat0,3))
```

Lpres
-42.14

### 2.3 ESTIMATING $\sigma^{2}$

$\mathbf{R}$ We can use the summary statistics computed previously to get the $R S S$ and the estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ :

```
> print(RSS <- SYY - SXY^2/SXX)
```

[1] 2.155
> print(sigmahat2 <- RSS/15)
[1] 0.1437
> print(sigmahat <- sqrt(sigmahat2))
[1] 0.379

### 2.4 PROPERTIES OF LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES

### 2.5 ESTIMATED VARIANCES

The estimated variances of coefficient estimates are computed using the summary statistics we have already obtained. These will also be computed automatically linear regression fitting methods, as shown in the next section.

### 2.6 COMPARING MODELS: THE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Computing the analysis of variance and $F$ test by hand requires only the value of $R S S$ and of $S S r e g=S Y Y-R S S$. We can then follow the outline given in ALR[2.6].
$\mathbf{R}$ We show how the function lm can be used for getting all the summaries in a simple regression model, and then the analysis of variance and other summaries. 1 m is also described in Companion[3].

The basic form of the 1 m function is

```
> lm(formula, data)
```

The argument formula describes the mean function to be used in fitting, and is the only required argument. The data argument gives the name of the data frame that contains the variables in the formula, and may be ignored if the data used in the formula is explicitly available when 1 m is called. Other possible arguments will be described as we need them.

The formula is no more than a simplified version of the mean function. For the Forbes example, the mean function is $\mathrm{E}($ Lpres $\mid$ Temp $)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}$ Temp, and the corresponding formula is

```
> Lpres ~ Temp
```

The left-hand side of the formula is the response variable. The "=" sign in the mean function is replaced by " $\sim$ ". In this and other formulas used with lm, the terms in the mean function are specified, but the parameters are omitted. Also, the intercept is included without being specified. You could put the intercept in explicitly by typing
> Lpres ~ 1 + Temp
Although not relevant for Forbes data, you could fit regression through the origin by explicitly removing the term for the intercept,

```
> Lpres ~ Temp - 1
```

You can also replace the variables in the mean function by transformations of them. For example,

```
> log10(Pressure) ~ Temp
```

is exactly the same mean function because Lpres is the base-ten logarithm of Pressure. See Companion[4.2.1] for a discussion of formulas.

The usual procedure for fitting a simple regression via ols is to fit using 1 m , and assign the result a name:

```
> m1 <- lm(Lpres ~ Temp, data=forbes)
```

The object m 1 contains all the information about the regression that was just fit. There are a number of helper functions that let you extract the information, either for printing, plotting, or other computations. The print function displays a brief summary of the fitted model. The summary function displays a more complete summary:

```
> summary(m1)
Call:
lm(formula = Lpres ~ Temp, data = forbes)
Residuals:
    Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-0.3222 -0.1447-0.0666 0.0218 1.3598
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -42.1378 3.3402 -12.6 2.2e-09
Temp 0.8955 0.0165 54.4 < 2e-16
Residual standard error: 0.379 on 15 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.995, Adjusted R-squared: 0.995
F-statistic: 2.96e+03 on 1 and 15 DF, p-value: <2e-16
```

You can verify that the values shown here are the same as those obtained by "hand" previously. The output contains a few items never discussed is in ALR, and these are edited out of the output shown in ALR. These include the quantiles of the residuals, and the "Adjusted R-Squared." Neither of these seem very useful. Be sure that you understand all the remaining numbers in this output.

The helper function anova has several uses in $R$, and the simplest is to get the analysis of variance described in ALR[2.6],

```
> anova(m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Lpres
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Df & Sum Sq Mean Sq F & value & \(\operatorname{Pr}(>F)\) \\
Temp & 1 & 426 & 426 & 2963 & \(<2 \mathrm{e}-16\) \\
Residuals & 15 & 2 & 0 & &
\end{tabular}
```

We have just scratched the surface of the options for 1 m and for specifying formulas. Stay tuned for more details later in this primer.

### 2.7 THE COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION, $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$

$\mathbf{R}$ For simple regression, $R^{2}$ can be computed as shown in the book. Alternatively, the correlation can be computed directly using the cor command:
> SSreg <- SYY - RSS
> print(R2 <- SSreg/SYY)
[1] 0.995
> cor(forbes1)
Temp Lpres
Temp 1.00000 .9975
Lpres 0.99751 .0000
and $0.99747817^{2}=0.9949627 . R^{2}$ is also printed by the summary method shown in the last section.

### 2.8 CONFIDENCE INTERVALS AND TESTS

Confidence intervals and tests can be computed using the formulas in ALR [2.8], in much the same way as the previous computations were done.

R To use 1 m to compute confidence intervals, we need access to the estimates, their standard errors (for predictions we might also need the covariances between the estimates), and we also need to be able to compute multipliers from the appropriate $t$ distribution.

To get access to the coefficient estimates, use the helper function coef,

```
> print(betahat <- coef(m1))
(Intercept) Temp
    -42.1378 0.8955
```

The command vcov can be used to get the matrix of variances and covariances of the estimates:

```
> print(var <- vcov(m1))
    (Intercept) Temp
(Intercept) 11.15693-0.0549313
Temp -0.05493 0.0002707
```

The correlation matrix can be computed with cov2cor

```
> cov2cor(var)
```

|  | (Intercept) | Temp |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Intercept) | 1.0000 | -0.9996 |
| Temp | -0.9996 | 1.0000 |

The estimated variance of the intercept $\hat{\beta}_{0}$, the square of the standard error, is 11.1569 . The standard errors are the square roots of the diagonal elements of this matrix, given by sqrt (diag(var)). The covariance between $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ is -0.0549 , and the correlation is -0.9996 .

Oddly enough, getting the estimated variance $\hat{\sigma}$ is a little harder:

```
> summary(m1)$sigma
```


## [1] 0.379

The function sigmaHat in the car package, which is automatically loaded whenever you load alr3, remembers this for you:

```
> sigmaHat(m1)
```


## [1] 0.379

The last item we need to compute confidence intervals is the correct multiplier from the $t$ distribution. For a $95 \%$ interval, the multiplier is

```
tval <- qt(1-.05/2, m1$df)
> tval
```


## [1] 2.131

The qt command computes quantiles of the $t$-distribution; similar function are available for the normal (qnorm), $F$ (qF), and other distributions; see Section 0.5.4. The function qt finds the value tval so that the area to the left of tval is equal to the first argument to the function. The second argument is the degrees of freedom, which can be obtained from m1 as shown above.

Finally, the confidence intervals for the two estimates are:

```
> data.frame(Est = betahat,
+ lower=betahat - tval * sqrt(diag(var)),
+ upper=betahat + tval * sqrt(diag(var)))
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& Est & lower & upper \\
(Intercept) & -42.1378 & -49.2572 & -35.0183 \\
Temp & 0.8955 & 0.8604 & 0.9306
\end{tabular}
```

By creating a data frame, the values get printed in a nice table.
The standard R includes a function called confint that computes the confidence intervals for you. This function

```
> confint(m1, level=.95)
```

```
    2.5% 97.5 %
(Intercept) -49.2572 -35.0183
Temp 0.8604 0.9306
```

gives the same answer. The confint function works with many other models in $R$, and is the preferred way to compute confidence intervals. For other than linear regression, it uses a more sophisticated method for computing confidence intervals.

## Prediction and fitted values

$\mathbf{R}$ The predict command is a very powerful helper function for getting fitted and predictions from a model fit with lm , or, indeed, most other models in R . Here are the important arguments in R:

```
> predict(object, newdata, se.fit = FALSE,
+ interval = c("none", "confidence", "prediction"),
+ level = 0.95)
```

The object argument is the name of the regression model that has already been computed. In the simplest case, we have

```
> predict(m1)
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
132.0 & 131.9 & 135.1 & 135.5 & 136.4 & 136.9 & 137.8 & 137.9 & 138.2 & 138.1 & 140.2 \\
12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & & & & &
\end{tabular}
141.1 145.5 144.7 146.5 147.6 147.9
```

returning the fitted values (or predictions) for each observation. If you want predictions for particular values, say $\operatorname{Temp}=210$ and 220 , use the command

```
> predict(m1, newdata=data.frame(Temp=c(210,220)),
+ interval="prediction", level=.95)
fit lwr upr
1 145.9 145.0 146.8
2 154.9 153.8 155.9
```

The newdata argument is a powerful tool in using the predict command, as it allows computing predictions at arbitrary points. The argument must be a data frame, with variables having the same names as the variables used in the mean function. For the Forbes data, the only term beyond the intercept is for Temp, and so only values for Temp must be provided. The argument intervals="prediction" gives prediction intervals at the specified level in addition to the point predictions; other intervals are possible, such as for fitted values; see help(predict.lm) for more information.

Additional arguments to predict will compute additional quantities. For example, se.fit will also return the standard errors of the fitted values (not the standard error of prediction). For example,

```
> predvals <- predict(m1, newdata=data.frame(Temp=c(210, 220)),
+ se.fit=TRUE)
> predvals
$fit
    1 2
145.9 154.9
$se.fit
    1 2
0.1480 0.2951
$df
[1] 15
```


## \$residual.scale

```
[1] 0.379
```

The result predvals is a list. You could get a more compact representation by typing

```
> as.data.frame(predvals)
    fit se.fit df residual.scale
145.9 0.1480 15 0.379
2 154.9 0.2951 15 0.379
```

You can do computations with these values. For example,

```
> (150 - predvals$fit)/predvals$se.fit
```

    \(1 \quad 2\)
    $27.60-16.50$
computes the difference between 150 and the fitted values, and then divides each by its standard error of the fitted value. The predict helper function does not compute the standard error of prediction, but you can compute it using equation ALR[E2.26],

```
> se.pred <- sqrt(predvals$residual.scale^2 + predvals$se.fit^2)
```


### 2.9 THE RESIDUALS

R The command residuals computes the residuals for a model. A plot of residuals versus fitted values with a horizontal line at the origin is given by

```
> plot(predict(m1), residuals(m1))
```

> abline (h=0,lty=2)

We will have more elaborate uses of residuals later in the primer. If you apply the plot helper function to the regression model m1 by typing plot (m1), you will also get the plot of residuals versus fitted values, along with a few other plots. We will not use the plot methods for models in this primer because it often includes plots not discussed in ALR. Finally, the car function residualPlots can also be used:
> residualPlots(m1)
We will discuss this last function in later sections of this primer.

## Problems

## 2.2.

R You need to fit the same model to both the forbes and hooker data sets. You can then use the predict command to get the predictions for Hooker's data from the fit to Forbes' data, and viceversa.
2.7 .
$\mathbf{R}$ The formula for regression through the origin explicitly removes the intercept, $\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{x}-1$.
2.10 .

## 3 <br> Multiple Regression

### 3.1 ADDING A TERM TO A SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

$\mathbf{R}$ The avPlots function in the car package can be used to draw addedvariable plots. Introducing this function here is a little awkward because it requires fitting a regression model first, a topic covered later in this chapter. Nevertheless, we consider the data file un3 that includes the three variables Purban, PPgdp and Fertility discussed in ALR[3.1]. The resulting plots are shown in Figure 3.1.

```
> m1 <- lm(log2(Fertility) ~ log2(PPgdp) + Purban, data=UN3)
> avPlots(m1, id.n=0)
```

The first command sets up the linear regression model using lm, as will be discussed in the remaining sections of this chapter. The formula is a little different because rather than first computing a variable like
> UN3\$logFertility <- log2(UN3\$Fertility)
we compute the logarithm on the fly inside the formula. This is a very useful practice in R that is generally not available in other computer programs. The avPlots takes the regression model as its first argument. The default of the function is to display the added-variable plot for each variable on the right side of the formula ${ }^{1}$, so we get two plots here. The argument id.n=0 is used to

[^3]

Fig. 3.1 Added-variable plots for each of the two predictors in the regression of $\log$ (Fertility) on $\log (P P g d p)$ and Purban, using base-two logarithms. The second of these two figures matches ALR[F3.1D].
suppress point labeling. By default avPlots will label several extreme points in the plot using row labels. In these plots they are rather distracting.

Finally, some of the values of the three variables in the regression model are not observed for some of the UN countries. These values are indicated by the symbol NA in the data file. By default R silently deletes all countries with missing values on at least one of the three variables, and does an analysis only on the fully observed cases.

Added-variable plots are discussed in Companion[6.2.3]. Point labeling is discussed in Companion[3.5]. Missing data is discussed in CompanION[2.2.3].

### 3.2 THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

### 3.3 TERMS AND PREDICTORS

$\mathbf{R}$ Table 3.1 in ALR[3.3] gives the "usual" summary statistics for each of the interesting terms in a data frame. Oddly enough, the writers of R don't seem to think these are the standard summaries. Here is what you get from R:

```
> fuel2001 <- transform(fuel2001,
+ Dlic=1000 * Drivers/Pop,
```

```
+ Fuel=1000 * FuelC/Pop,
+ Income = Income,
+ logMiles = log2(Miles))
> f <- fuel2001[,c(7, 8, 3, 10, 9)] # new data frame
> summary(f)
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Tax } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Dlic } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Income }
\end{tabular}
        Fuel
Min. :317
1st Qu.:575
Median :626
Mean :613
3rd Qu.:667
Max. :843
```

We created a new data frame $f$ using only the variables of interest. Rather than giving the standard deviation for each variable, the summary command provides first and third quartiles. You can get the standard deviations easily enough, using

```
> apply(f, 2, sd)
    Tax 
```

The argument 2 in apply tells the program to apply the third argument, the sd function, to the second dimension, or columns, of the matrix or data frame given by the first argument. Sample correlations, ALR[T3.2], are computed using the cor command,

```
> round(cor(f),4)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Tax & Dlic & Income & logMiles & Fuel \\
Tax & 1.0000 & -0.0858 & -0.0107 & -0.0437 & -0.2594 \\
Dlic & -0.0858 & 1.0000 & -0.1760 & 0.0306 & 0.4685 \\
Income & -0.0107 & -0.1760 & 1.0000 & -0.2959 & -0.4644 \\
logMiles & -0.0437 & 0.0306 & -0.2959 & 1.0000 & 0.4220 \\
Fuel & -0.2594 & 0.4685 & -0.4644 & 0.4220 & 1.0000
\end{tabular}
```


### 3.4 ORDINARY LEAST SQUARES

$\mathbf{R}$ The sample covariance matrix is computed using either var or cov, so

```
> cov(f)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Tax & Dlic & Income & logMiles & Fuel \\
Tax & 20.6546 & -28.425 & -216.2 & -0.2955 & -104.89 \\
Dlic & -28.4247 & 5308.259 & -57070.5 & 3.3135 & 3036.59 \\
Income & -216.1725 & -57070.454 & 19817074.0 & -1958.0367 & -183912.57 \\
logMiles & -0.2955 & 3.314 & -1958.0 & 2.2103 & 55.82 \\
Fuel & -104.8944 & 3036.591 & -183912.6 & 55.8172 & 7913.88
\end{tabular}
```

We will compute the matrix $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$. To start we need the matrix $\mathbf{X}$, which has 51 rows, one column for each predictor, and one column for the intercept. Here are the computations:

```
> f$Intercept <- rep(1, 51) # a column of ones added to f
> X <- as.matrix(f[, c(6, 1, 2, 3, 4)]) # reorder and drop fuel
> xtx <- t(X) %*% X
> xtxinv <- solve(xtx)
> xty <- t(X) %*% f$Fuel
> print(xtxinv, digits=4)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Intercept & Tax & Dlic & Income & logMiles \\
Intercept & \(9.022 \mathrm{e}+00\) & \(-2.852 \mathrm{e}-02\) & \(-4.080 \mathrm{e}-03\) & \(-5.981 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(-1.932 \mathrm{e}-01\) \\
Tax & \(-2.852 \mathrm{e}-02\) & \(9.788 \mathrm{e}-04\) & \(5.599 \mathrm{e}-06\) & \(4.263 \mathrm{e}-08\) & \(1.602 \mathrm{e}-04\) \\
Dlic & \(-4.080 \mathrm{e}-03\) & \(5.599 \mathrm{e}-06\) & \(3.922 \mathrm{e}-06\) & \(1.189 \mathrm{e}-08\) & \(5.402 \mathrm{e}-06\) \\
Income & \(-5.981 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(4.263 \mathrm{e}-08\) & \(1.189 \mathrm{e}-08\) & \(1.143 \mathrm{e}-09\) & \(1.000 \mathrm{e}-06\) \\
logMiles & \(-1.932 \mathrm{e}-01\) & \(1.602 \mathrm{e}-04\) & \(5.402 \mathrm{e}-06\) & \(1.000 \mathrm{e}-06\) & \(9.948 \mathrm{e}-03\)
\end{tabular}
```

The first line added a column to the f data frame that consists of 51 copies of the number 1. The function as.matrix converted the reordered data frame into a matrix $\mathbf{X}$. The next line computed $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$, using the function $t$ to get the transpose of a matrix, and $\% * \%$ for matrix multiply. The solve function returns the inverse of is argument; it is also used to solve linear equations if the function has two arguments.

The estimates and other regression summaries can be be computed, based on these sufficient statistics:

```
> xty <- t(X) %*% f$Fuel
> betahat <- xtxinv %*% xty
> betahat
```

Intercept 154.192845
Tax -4.227983
Dlic 0.471871

Income -0.006135
logMiles 18.545275

As with simple regression the function 1 m is used to automate the fitting of a multiple linear regression mean function. The only difference between the simple and multiple regression is the formula:

```
> m1 <- lm(formula = Fuel ~ Tax + Dlic + Income + logMiles,
+ data = f)
> summary(m1)
Call:
lm(formula = Fuel ~ Tax + Dlic + Income + logMiles, data = f)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & 1Q Median & \(3 Q\) & Max \\
-163.1 & -33.0 & 5.9 & 32.0 & 183.5
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 154.19284 194.90616 0.79 0.43294
Tax -4.22798 2.03012 -2.08 0.04287
Dlic 0.47187 0.12851 3.67 0.00063
Income -0.00614 0.00219 -2.80}00.0075
logMiles }18.54527 6.47217 2.87 0.00626 
Residual standard error: 64.9 on 46 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.51, Adjusted R-squared: 0.468
F-statistic: }12\mathrm{ on 4 and 46 DF, p-value: 9.33e-07
```


### 3.5 THE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

$\mathbf{R} \quad$ The $F$-statistic printed at the bottom of the output for summary (m1) shown above corresponds to ALR[T3.4], testing all coefficients except the intercept equal to zero versus the alternative that they are not all zero.

Following the logic in ALR[3.5.2], we can get an $F$-test for the hypothesis that $\beta_{1}=0$ versus a general alternative by fitting two models, the larger one we have already fit for the mean function under the alternative hypothesis, and a smaller mean function under the null hypothesis. We can fit this second mean function using the update command:

```
> m2 <- update(m1, ~.-Tax)
> anova(m2,m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Fuel ~ Dlic + Income + logMiles
Model 2: Fuel ~ Tax + Dlic + Income + logMiles
```

```
    Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr (>F)
    47211964
    46 193700 1 18264 4.34 0.043
```

The update function takes an existing object and updates it in some way, usually by changing the mean function or by changing the data. In this instance, we have updated the mean function on the right-hand side by taking the existing terms, indicated by the "." and then removing Tax by putting it in the mean function with a negative sign. We can then use the anova helper command to compare the two mean functions. The information is equivalent to what is shown in ALR[3.5.2] after equation ALR[E3.22], but in a somewhat different layout; I find the output from anova to be confusing.

If you use the anova helper command with just one argument, you will get a sequential Anova table, like ALR[T3.5]. The terms are fit in the order you specify them in the mean function, so anova applied to the following mean functions

```
> m1 <- lm(Fuel ~ Tax + Dlic + Income + logMiles, data = f)
> m2 <- update(m1, ~ Dlic + Tax + Income + logMiles)
> m3 <- update(m1, ~ Tax + Dlic + logMiles + Income)
```

all give different anova tables.

### 3.6 PREDICTIONS AND FITTED VALUES

$\mathbf{R}$ Predictions and fitted values for multiple regression use the predict command, just as for simple linear regression. If you want predictions for new data, you must specify values for all the terms in the mean function, apart from the intercept, so for example,

```
> predict(m1, newdata=data.frame(
+ Tax=c(20, 35), Dlic=c(909, 943), Income=c(16.3, 16.8),
+ logMiles=c(15, 17)))
    1 2
776.6766.4
```

will produce two predictions of future values for the values of the predictors indicated.

## Problems

$\mathbf{R}$ Several of these problems concern added-variable plots. These are easy enough to compute using the following method for the added-variable plot for a particular term $X_{2}$ in a mean function given in m0 that you have already fit.

1. Fit the model $m 1$ that is the regression of the response on all terms except for $X_{2}$.
2. Fit the model m 2 that is the regression of $X_{2}$ on all the other predictors.
3. Draw the added-variable plot, plot(residuals(m2), residuals(m1)).

You can actually combine all these into a single very complex, statement:

```
> plot(residuals(update(m0, ~.-X2)), residuals(update(m0, X2 ~ . -X2))
```

The plot above won't have labels or other identifying information. To automate printing added variable plots you can write your own function that will do the regressions and draw the plot, or you can use the function avPlots. For the fuel data,

```
> avPlots(m0, id.n=0)
```

will produce all added-variable plots in one window.

## 4 <br> Drawing Conclusions

The first three sections of this chapter do not introduce any new computational methods; everything you need is based on what has been covered in previous chapters. The last two sections, on missing data and on computationally intensive methods introduce new computing issues.

### 4.1 UNDERSTANDING PARAMETER ESTIMATES

$\mathbf{R}$ In alr[T4.1], Model 3 is overparameterized. R will print the missing value symbol NA for terms that are linear combinations of the terms already fit in the mean function, so they are easy to identify from the printed output.

If you are using the output of a regression as the input to some other computation, you may want to check to see if the model was overparameterized or not. If you have fit a model called, for example, $m 2$, then the value of $m 2 \$$ rank will be the number of terms actually fit in the mean function, including the intercept, if any. It is also possible to determine which of the terms specified in the mean function were actually fit, but the command for this is obscure:

```
> m2$qr$pivot[1:m2$qr$rank]
```

will return the indices of the terms, starting with the intercept, that were estimated in fitting the model.

### 4.1.1 Rate of change

### 4.1.2 Sign of estimates

### 4.1.3 Interpretation depends on other terms in the mean function

### 4.1.4 Rank deficient and over-parameterized models

### 4.2 EXPERIMENTATION VERSUS OBSERVATION

### 4.3 SAMPLING FROM A NORMAL POPULATION

### 4.4 MORE ON $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$

### 4.5 MISSING DATA

The data files that are included with alr use NA as a place holder for missing values. Some packages may not recognize this as a missing value indicator, and so you may need to change this character using an editor to the appropriate character for your program.
$\mathbf{R} \quad \mathrm{R}$ uses the symbol NA to incdicate missing values by default but you can you other missing-value indicators when you read data from a file. Suppose, for example, you have a text file mydata.txt that uses a period "." as the missing value indicator, with variable names in the first row. You could read this file as follows

```
> data <- read.table("mydata.txt", header=TRUE, na.strings=".")
```

This will read the file, and covert the "." to the missing value indicator.
There are several functions for working with missing value indicators. is.na serves two purposes, to set elements of a vector or array to missing, and to test each element of the vector or array to be missing, and return either TRUE or FALSE.

```
> a <- 1:5 # set a to be the vector (1,2,3,4,5)
> is.na(a) <- c(1,5) # set elements 1 and 5 of a to NA
> a # print a
[1] NA 2 3 4 NA
> is.na(a) # for each element of a is a[j] = NA?
```


## [1] TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE

$R$ has a function called complete.cases that returns a vector with the value TRUE for all cases in a data frame with no missing values, and FALSE otherwise. For example,

```
> complete.cases(sleep1)
    [1] FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE
[12] TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE
[23] TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE
[34] TRUE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE
[45] TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE
[56] FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE
```

Many commands in R have an argument na.action that tells the command what to do if missing data indicators are present. The usual default is na.action=na.omit, which means delete all cases with missing data. Another option that is always available is na.action=na.fail, which would prevent the command from executing at all with missing data. In principle there could be other actions, but none are commonly used. Other methods will have an argument na.omit with default value FALSE. For example, the function mean( X ) will return NA if any of the elements of $X$ are missing, while mean(X, na.omit=TRUE) will return the mean of the values actually observed. As a warning, R functions are not always consistent on their use of arguments for missing data, or on the defaults for those arguments. You can always consult the help pages for the commands of interest to get the arguments right.

The na.action argument is available for 1 m . The default is na.action=no.omit. Explicitly including the na.omit argument makes clear what you are doing:

```
> m1 <- lm(log(SWS) ~ log(BodyWt) + log(Life) + log(GP),
+ data=sleep1, na.action=na.omit)
```

which will delete 20 cases with missing values. If you then fit

```
> m2 <- lm(log(SWS) ~ log(BodyWt) + log(GP),
+ data=sleep1, na.action=na.omit)
```

omitting $\log$ (Life), you can't actually compare the two fits via an analysis of variance because only 18 cases are missing the remaining variables.

You can guarantee that all fits are based on the same cases using the subset argument to lm .

```
> m3 <- lm(log(SWS) ~ log(BodyWt) + log(Life) + log(GP), data=sleep1,
+ subset = complete.cases(sleep1))
```

will fit this mean function, and any other, to the 42 fully observed cases in the data frame.

### 4.6 COMPUTATIONALLY INTENSIVE METHODS

$\mathbf{R} \quad \mathrm{R}$ is well suited for the bootstrap and other computationally intensive statistics. The books by Davison and Hinkley (1997) and by Efron and Tibshirani (1993) both provide comprehensive introductions to the bootstrap, and both have packages for R for general bootstrap calculations.

The case-resampling bootstrap is very easy. Using the transactions data discussed in ALR[4.6.1], here is the approach using R and the bootCase function in the car package:

```
> m1 <- lm(Time~ T1 + T2, data=transact)
> betahat.boot <- bootCase(m1,B=999)
```

The call to bootCase has three arguments. In the example above, we have used two of them, the name of the regression model, and the number of bootstraps, $B=999$. The third argument is called $f$, the name of a function to be evaluated on each bootstrap. The default is the generic function coef that will return the coefficient estimates, and since we did not specify a value for $f$, the default is used. On each bootstrap the coefficient estimates are saved.

At this point, betahat.boot is a matrix with $B=999$ rows, one for each bootstrap replication. The number of columns depends on the argument $f$ and for the default of the coefficient vector it has as many columns of regression coefficients in the model. Usual R tools can be used to summarize these bootstrap estimates:

```
> # bootstrap standard errors
> apply(betahat.boot,2,sd)
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
(Intercept) & T 1 & T 2 \\
195.37481 & 0.68461 & 0.15242
\end{tabular}
> # bootstrap 95% confidence intervals
> cl <- function(x) quantile(x,c(.025,.975))
> apply(betahat.boot,2,cl)
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& (Intercept) & T1 & T2 \\
\(2.5 \%\) & -238.99 & 4.1314 & 1.7494 \\
\(97.5 \%\) & 532.43 & 6.7594 & 2.3321
\end{tabular}
> coef(m1)
(Intercept) T1 T2
    144.3694 5.4621 2.0345
```

We applied the sd command to each column to give the bootstrap standard errors. Given next is a short function we called cl that computes the 2.5 - and 97.5 -percentiles of a sample. We apply this to the columns of betahat. boot to get percentile based confidence intervals for each of the coefficients. Finally, we printed the coefficient estimates from the original data. We could have looked at histograms, scatterplots or other summaries.

The simulation outlined in ALR[4.6.3] is not based on resampling the observed data, but rather on modifying the observed data by adding normal random numbers with given variances. Once again, you need to write a function to do this simulation. Here is one approach:

```
> catchSim <- function(B=999){
+ ans <- NULL
+ for (i in 1:B) {
+ X <- npdata$Density + npdata$SEdens * rnorm(16)
+ Y <- npdata$CPUE + npdata$SECPUE * rnorm(16)
+ m0 <- lm(Y ~ X - 1)
+ ans <- c(ans, coef(m0))}
+ ans}
```

We then run this function:

```
> b0 <- catchSim(B=999)
> c(mean(b0),cl(b0))
    2.5% 97.5%
0.30704 0.22206 0.39437
```

For this simulation, we have written a one-off function for this particular problem. It has one argument, the number of simulations. In the for loop, we have explicitly referred to the data frame, even though we had previously attached the data. Variable names work a little differently inside a function, and so without the reference to the data frame, this function would not work. The command rnorm computes vectors of 16 standard normal random numbers; these are multiplied by the vectors of standard errors to get random numbers with the right standard deviations. The model mo is for regression through the origin, and only the estimated slope is kept on each replication. We have shown only a numeric summary of the mean and $95 \%$ confidence interval reusing the cl function we wrote previously, but graphical summaries could be used as well, probably using a histogram.

## Problems

4.10. The datafile longley is not included in the alr3 package, but it is included in the base datasets package in $R$ and can be used as any other data set. The variable names are a little different, however:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { GNP.deflator } & =\text { GNP price deflator, in percent } \\
\text { GNP } & =\text { GNP, in millions of dollars } \\
\text { Unemployed } & =\text { Unemployment, in thousands of persons } \\
\text { Armed.Forces } & =\text { Size of armed forces, in thousands } \\
\text { Population } & =\text { Population } 14 \text { years of age and over, in thousands } \\
\text { Employed } & =\text { Total derived employment in thousands the response } \\
\text { Year } & =\text { Year }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 <br> Weights, Lack of Fit, and More

### 5.1 WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES

$R \quad$ Weighted least squares estimates are most easily obtained using the weights argument for the 1 lm command. In the physics data in ALR[5.1], the weights are the inverse squares of the variable $S D$ in the data frame. WLS is computed by

```
> m1 <- lm(y ~ x, data=physics, weights=1/SD^2)
> summary(m1)
Call:
lm(formula = y ~ x, data = physics, weights = 1/SD^2)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & 3Q & Max \\
\(-2.32 e+00\) & \(-8.84 e-01\) & \(1.27 e-06\) & \(1.39 e+00\) & \(2.34 e+00\)
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& Estimate Std. Error & t value \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|\mathrm{t}|)\) \\
(Intercept) & 148.47 & 8.08 & 18.4 & \(7.9 \mathrm{e}-08\) \\
x & 530.84 & 47.55 & 11.2 & \(3.7 \mathrm{e}-06\)
\end{tabular}
Residual standard error: 1.66 on 8 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.94, Adjusted R-squared: 0.932
F-statistic: }125\mathrm{ on 1 and 8 DF, p-value: 3.71e-06
```

```
> anova(m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: y
    Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
x & 1 & 342 & 342 & 125 & \(3.7 \mathrm{e}-06\) \\
Residuals & 8 & 22 & 3 & &
\end{tabular}
```

You can nearly "set and forget" weights and use lm for WLS just as you would for ols. There are, however, a few exceptions:

1. The residuals helper function returns a vector of $y-\hat{y}$, as with olS. As we will see later, with WLS a more reasonable set of residuals is given by $\sqrt{w}(y-\hat{y})$. $\mathbf{R}$ will return these correct residuals if you specify residuals(m1, type="pearson"). You can also used the function weighted.residuals that does the same thing. For OLS all the weights equal one, so the Pearson and ordinary residuals are identical. Consequently, if you always use type="pearson", you will always be using the right residuals.
2. The predict helper function also works correctly for getting predictions and standard errors of fitted values, but it apparently does not always give the right answer for prediction intervals. The standard error of prediction is $\left(\hat{\sigma}^{2}+\operatorname{sefit}\left(y \mid X=\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, rather than $\left(\hat{\sigma}^{2} / w_{*}+\operatorname{sefit}(y \mid X=\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. The R formula assumes that the variance of the future observation is $\sigma^{2}$ rather than $\sigma^{2} / w_{*}$, where $w_{*}$ is the weight for the future value.

### 5.1.1 Applications of weighted least squares

$R$ This subsection has our first application of polynomial regression. R attaches special meaning to the symbol ^ in formulas, so the formula $y$ ~ $x+x^{\wedge} 2$ won't work the way you expect it to work. Instead, you need to use $\mathrm{y}{ }^{\sim} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right)$. The function I inhibits its argument, so the special meaning of ${ }^{\text {~ }}$ in formulas is not used, but the more general use as the exponentiation indicator is used.
$R$ has alternative ways of specifying polynomial models, particularly using orthogonal polyonmials. The formula y $\sim \operatorname{poly}(x, 2)$ also fits a quadratic polynomial, but rather than using $x$ and $x^{2}$ as predictors, it uses $x$ and the residuals from the regression of $x^{2}$ on $x$ as predictors. This is numerically more stable, and highly recommended in large problems. Interpretation of coefficients is harder with orthogonal polynomials. The overall $F$ test will be the same with either parameterization, and the $t$ test for the quadratic term will be the same as well; the $t$-test for $x$ will be different.

If you use poly with the argument raw=TRUE, then the orthogonal polynomials will be replaced by the raw polynomial terms. This alternative way of
specifying a polynomial will produce the same coefficients as would be obtained entering each power-term in the formula, but the treatment of the terms in some help functions like anova is different. Try it and see!

### 5.1.2 Additional comments

### 5.2 TESTING FOR LACK OF FIT, VARIANCE KNOWN

$R \quad \operatorname{ALR}[F 5.1]$ contains data and two fitted curves, from, the linear and quadratic fits to the physics data. Here are the commands that generate this graph:

```
> m1 <- lm(y ~ x, data=physics, weights=1/SD^2)
> m2 <- update(m1, ~ . + I (x^2))
> plot(y ~ x, data=physics, xlab=expression(x=s^{-1/2}),
+ ylab="Cross section, y")
> abline(m1)
> a <- seq(.05,.35,length=50)
> lines(a, predict(m2, newdata=data.frame(x=a)), lty=2)
```



The models m 1 and m 2 are, respectively, the simple linear and quadratic WLS fits. The advantage to using update to define m 2 is that we do not need to specify the weights again. The abline command draws the fitted line for the simple linear fit. To get the fit for the quadratic is a little harder. The vector a includes 50 equally spaced values between .05 and .35 , more or less the
minimum and maximum value of $x$. We then use the lines function to plot the predicted values at $a$ versus $a$. In the predict helper function, we only had to specify values for $x$ in the newdata argument. The program will use the value of $x$ in both the linear and quadratic terms. Setting lty=2 gives dashed lines. The use of the expression function allows typesetting math, in this case an exponent.

Getting the lack of fit test for known variance requires getting significance levels from the Chi-squared distribution. You can use the pchisq command for this purpose; see Section 0.5.4.

### 5.3 TESTING FOR LACK OF FIT, VARIANCE UNKNOWN

$R$ The test of lack of fit based on replication is not a standard part of R. For problems with just one term $x$ in the mean function beyond the intercept, it is easy to get the lack of fit test by adding factor $(x)$ to the mean function:

```
> x <- c(1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4)
> y <- c(2.55, 2.75, 2.57, 2.40, 4.19, 4.70, 3.81, 4.87,
+ 2.93, 4.52)
> m1 <- lm(y ~ x)
> anova(lm(y ~ x + as.factor(x)))
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: y
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Df & Sum Sq Mean Sq F & value & \(\operatorname{Pr}(>F)\) \\
x & 1 & 4.57 & 4.57 & 11.62 & 0.014 \\
as.factor(x) & 2 & 1.86 & 0.93 & 2.36 & 0.175 \\
Residuals & 6 & 2.36 & 0.39 & &
\end{tabular}
```

The $F$-test on the line as.factor (x) is the $F$ for lack-of-fit, and the line marked Residuals is the pure error.

With more than one term beyond the intercept finding the groups of repeated values to give pure error is harder. The alr3 library includes a function pureErrorAnova that will do the trick for any number of terms:
> pureErrorAnova(m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: y

|  | Df | Sum Sq Mean Sq F | value $\operatorname{Pr}(>F)$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| x | 1 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 11.62 | 0.014 |
| Residuals | 8 | 4.22 | 0.53 |  |  |
| $\quad$ Lack of fit | 2 | 1.86 | 0.93 | 2.36 | 0.175 |
| Pure Error | 6 | 2.36 | 0.39 |  |  |

Except for the correct labeling of the lack-of-fit line, this gives the same answer as before.

### 5.4 GENERAL $\boldsymbol{F}$ TESTING

$R$ The anova helper function is designed to compute the general $F$-test described in ALr[5.4]. For example, consider the fuel consumption data, and fit a sequence of models:

```
> fuel2001$Dlic <- 1000 * fuel2001$Drivers / fuel2001$Pop
> fuel2001$Fuel <- 1000* fuel2001$FuelC / fuel2001$Pop
> fuel2001$Income <- fuel2001$Income / 1000
> fuel2001$logMiles <- log2(fuel2001$Miles)
> m1 <- lm(Fuel ~ Dlic + Income + logMiles + Tax, data=fuel2001)
> m2 <- update(m1, ~ . - Dlic - Income)
> anova(m2, m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Fuel ~ logMiles + Tax
Model 2: Fuel ~ Dlic + Income + logMiles + Tax
    Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
148 302192
246 193700 2 108492 12.9 3.6e-05
```

The anova helper lists the models to be compared from smallest model, the null hypothesis, to the largest model, the alternative hypothesis. The output gives the $R S S$ and df under both hypotheses in the columns Res.df and RSS. The columns Df and Sum of Sq are the quantities for the numerator of ALR[E5.16]. The $F$ and is $p$-value are then provided.

You can use anova for a longer sequence of nested models as well.

```
> m3 <- update(m2, ~ . - Tax)
> anova(m3, m2, m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Fuel ~ logMiles
Model 2: Fuel ~ logMiles + Tax
Model 3: Fuel ~ Dlic + Income + logMiles + Tax
    Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
149 325216
2 4 48 302192 1 1 
3 46 193700 2 108492 12.88 3.6e-05
```

The $F$ on line number 2 is for the hypothesis m 3 versus m 2 , which may not be a hypothesis of interest. The $F$ on line 3 tests m 2 versus m 3 , giving the same answer as before.

### 5.5 JOINT CONFIDENCE REGIONS

$R \quad \operatorname{ALR}[F 5.3]$ is one of the few figures in ALR that was not drawn in R. It is possible to draw this figure using the confidenceEllipse helper function in the car library.

```
> m1 <- lm(logFertility ~ logPPgdp + Purban, data = UN2)
> confidenceEllipse(m1, scheffe=TRUE)
```



## Problems

5.3.

The bootstrap used in this problem is different from the bootstrap discussed in ALR[4.6] because rather than resampling cases we are resampling residuals. Here is the general outline of the method:

1. Fit the model of interest to the data. In this case, the model is just the simple linear regression of the response $y$ on the predictor $x$. Compute the test statistic of interest, given by aLR[E5.23]. Save the fitted values $\hat{y}$ and the residuals $\hat{e}$.
2. A bootstrap sample will consist of the original $x$ and a new $y^{*}$, where $y^{*}=\hat{y}+e^{*}$. The $i$ th element of $e^{*}$ is obtained by sampling from $\hat{e}$ with replacement. You can compute $e^{*}$ by
> estar <- ehat[sample(1:n, replace=TRUE)]
3. Given the bootstrap data $\left(x, y^{*}\right)$, compute and save ALR[E5.23].
4. Repeat steps 2 and $3 B$ times, and summarize results.

## 6 <br> Polynomials and Factors

### 6.1 POLYNOMIAL REGRESSION

$\mathbf{R} \quad \operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{F} 6.2]$ is a plot of the design points in the cakes data, with the values slightly jittered. R include a command jitter to make this easy. Here are the commands that will draw this figure:
> with(cakes, plot(jitter(X1), jitter(X2)))
jitter has arguments to control the magnitude of the jittering; type help(jitter) for information.

Polynomial models generally require creating many terms that are functions of a few base predictors. One way to do this is discussed in Section 5.1.1. For example, to fit the cubic polynomial with response $y$ and predictor $x$, the formula $y \sim 1+x+I\left(x^{\wedge} 2\right)+I\left(x^{\wedge} 3\right)$ is appropriate.

An alternative procedure and generally preferred procedure is to use the poly to generate the polynomial terms in one or more variables. For example, suppose we have $n=5$ cases, and $x=(1,2,3,4,5)^{\prime}$.

```
> x <- 1:5
> (xmat <- cbind(x^0, x, x^2, x^3))
```


## x

[1,] 11111
[2,] $1 \begin{array}{llll} & 2 & 8\end{array}$
[3,] 133927
[4,] $1416 \quad 44$
[5,] 1525125

```
>as.data.frame(poly(x, 3, raw=TRUE))
```

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | 3 | 9 | 27 |
| 4 | 4 | 16 | 64 |
| 5 | 5 | 25 | 125 |

Following ALR, we would use the second to fourth columns of xmat for the linear, quadratic, and cubic terms in $x$. Adding the argument raw=TRUE created the same three predictors.

The poly command can also be used to get orthogonal polynomials, which are equivalent the ordinary polynomials but the variables created are orthogonal to each other.

| $>$ | as.data.frame $($ poly $(x, 3))$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | -0.6325 | 0.5345 | $-3.162 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 2 | -0.3162 | -0.2673 | $6.325 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 3 | 0.0000 | -0.5345 | $-4.096 \mathrm{e}-16$ |
| 4 | 0.3162 | -0.2673 | $-6.325 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 5 | 0.6325 | 0.5345 | $3.162 \mathrm{e}-01$ |

These are the same variables you would be obtained by applying the QR factorization of xmat,
> qr.Q(qr(xmat))

|  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[1]$, | -0.4472 | $-6.325 e-01$ | 0.5345 | $-3.162 e-01$ |
| $[2]$, | -0.4472 | $-3.162 e-01$ | -0.2673 | $6.325 e-01$ |
| $[3]$, | -0.4472 | $1.110 e-16$ | -0.5345 | $4.025 e-15$ |
| $[4]$, | -0.4472 | $3.162 e-01$ | -0.2673 | $-6.325 e-01$ |
| $[5]$, | -0.4472 | $6.325 e-01$ | 0.5345 | $3.162 e-01$ |

These vectors are uncorrelated, making regression calculations potentially more accurate, but make interpretation of coefficients more difficult. See the help page for poly.

### 6.1.1 Polynomials with several predictors

R (See also Companion[SEc. 4.6.3]) With two predictors, and generalizing to more than two predictors, the full second-order mean function ALR[E6.4] can be fit to the cakes data by

```
> m1 <- lm(Y ~ X1 + X2 + I(X1^2) + I(X2^2) + X1:X2, data=cakes)
```

The new feature here is the interaction term $\mathrm{X} 1: \mathrm{x} 2$, which is obtained by multiplying X 1 by x 2 elementwise. Other programs often write an interaction as $\mathrm{X} 1 * \mathrm{X} 2$, but in $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{X} 1 * \mathrm{X} 2=\mathrm{X} 1+\mathrm{X} 2+\mathrm{X} 1: \mathrm{X} 2$.

As an alternative, you could pre-compute the polynomial terms and even the interactions and add them to the data frame:

```
> cakes$X1sq <- cakes$X1^2
> cakes$X2sq <- cakes$X2^2
> cakes$X1X2 <- cakes$X1 * cakes$X2
> m2 <- lm(Y ~ X1 + X2 + X1sq + X2sq + X1X2, data=cakes)
```

The models m 1 and m 2 are identical, but m 1 will be a little easier to use if you want to get predictions since for m 1 you need only supply new values for X 1 and x 2 , while for the latter you need to supply all five variables.

The third alternative is to use the command poly that fits polynomials in several variables.

```
> m3 <- lm(Y ~ poly(X1, X2, degree=2, raw=TRUE), data=cakes)
```

which also fits the full second-order model. All of these approaches have advantages and disadvantages. For example, you can't use update to change the formula in m 3 , and using predict with m 2 is not very straightforward.

The plots shown in $\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{F} 6.3]$ are tedious to draw in $\mathrm{R}^{1}$. Here are the commands that will draw ALR[F6.3A]:

```
> with(cakes, plot(X1, Y, type="n", xlab="(a) X1"))
> X1new <- seq(32, 38, len=50)
> lines(X1new, predict(m1,
+ newdata=data.frame(X1=X1new, X2=rep(340, 50))))
> lines(X1new, predict(m1,
+ newdata=data.frame(X1=X1new, X2=rep (350, 50))))
> lines(X1new, predict(m1,
+ newdata=data.frame(X1=X1new, X2=rep (360, 50))))
> text(34, 4.7, "X2=340", adj=0, cex=0.7)
> text(32, 5.7, "X2=350" ,adj=0, cex=0.7)
> text(32, 7.6, "X2=360", adj=0, cex=0.7)
```

[^4]

The code for ALR [F6.3B] is similar. The par command sets global graphical parameters, in this case resetting the graphics window to have one row of plots and two columns of plots. The first plot sets the values plotted on each axis and the labels for the axes. The argument type="n" means draw the plot but not the points. The other options are type="p" to draw points, the default; type="l" to draw lines, and type="b" for both points and lines. To the plot we then add three lines of fitted values versus $X_{1}$ for $X_{2}$ fixed at either 340, 350 or 360 . Finally, the text helper is used to add text to the plot. The first two argument give the upper left corner of the text, then the text to be put on the plot. The adj argument can be used to adjust the placement of the text, and $c e x=0.7$ multiplies the standard height of the text by 0.7 . This choice of cex works well when plotting using R on Windows, but it might be a poor choice using R on Linux or Macintosh. Part b is drawn in much the same way. The graphs you get are likely to be tall and thin, but you can rescale them to be more useful using the mouse by dragging the lower right corner of the window. Finally, par is used again to reset to one graph in a plot window.

### 6.1.2 Using the delta method to estimate a minimum or a maximum

$\mathbf{R}$ (See also Companion[Sec. 4.4.6]) The command D can be used for symbolic differentiation of an expression, and so the delta method can be implemented while allowing the program to do the differentiation; Venables and Ripley (2000, p. 167) discuss this at length. We will use the example from $\operatorname{ALR}[6.1 .2]$. The mean function we use is $\mathrm{E}(y \mid x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}$, and the nonlinear function of interest is $x_{m}=-2 \beta_{1} /\left(2 \beta_{2}\right)$. For data, we will use the cakes data, but ignore the variable $X_{1}$.

```
> m4 <- lm(Y ~ X2 + I(X2^2), data=cakes)
> Var <- vcov(m4)
> bO <- coef(m4)[1]
> b1 <- coef(m4)[2]
> b2 <- coef(m4)[3]
```

We have assigned b0, b1 and b2 to be, respectively, the estimates of the intercept, linear and quadratic terms, and Var is the estimated variance of the coefficients. The vcov helper function recovers the matrix $\hat{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ from the fitted linear model.

To differentiate $x_{m}$ requires several steps. First, define $x_{m}$ as a string, and then convert it to an expression:

```
> xm <- "-b1/(2*b2)"
> xm.expr <- parse(text=xm)
> xm.expr
expression(-b1/(2 * b2))
```

Since the b's have been assigned values, we can evaluate xm. expr to get a point estimate.

```
> eval(xm.expr)
```

X2
354.2

The point estimate of $x_{m}$ is 354.2 degrees.
Next, compute the derivatives with respect to each of the three parameters in the mean function, and collect them into a vector.

```
> expr <- expression(-b2/(2*b3))
> derivs <- c(D(xm.expr, "b0"), D(xm.expr,"b1"), D(xm.expr, "b2"))
> derivs
```

[[1]]
[1] 0
[ [2] ]
-(1/(2 * b2))
[ [3] ]
b1 * 2/(2 * b2 $)^{\wedge} 2$
which are the expressions given before ALR[E6.13]. The derivative with respect to bo is zero because b0 does not appear in the expression. Since the b's have been defined, we can evaluate the vector of derivatives:

```
> eval.derivs<-c(eval(D(xm.expr, "b0")), eval(D(xm.expr, "b1")),
+ eval(D(xm.expr, "b2")))
> eval.derivs
    I(X2^2) X2
    0.0 43.6 30888.1
```

The labels printed from the evaluated derivatives are wrong, but we won't use them anyway. Finally, we can compute the estimated standard error of $\hat{x}_{m}$ :

```
> sqrt(t(eval.derivs) %*% Var %*% eval.derivs)
    [,1]
[1,] 2.089
```

and the standard error is 2.0893 .
If this seems excessively difficult, well, I agree. This discussion amounts to an algorithm for computing the delta method, and a command deltaMethod has been added to the car package that essentially follows this outline.

```
> deltaMethod(m4,"-b1/(2*b2)", parameterNames= c("b0", "b1", "b2"))
    Estimate SE
-b1/(2*b2) 354.2 2.089
```

The key arguments to deltaMethod are the name of the regression model and a quoted string corresponding to the function of parameters of interest. The names of the parameters are assigned by the parameterNames argument. In most regression models you can simply use the names produced by names (coef (model)) that are printed in the summary output for the regression as the names of the parameters. That won't work in this example because the name for the quadratic term $I\left(X 2^{\wedge} 2\right)$ includes the exponentiation character and will confuse the D function used for differentiation. The deltaMethod function will work with linear, generalized linear, nonlinear, and many other regression models.

### 6.1.3 Fractional polynomials

### 6.2 FACTORS

(See also Companion[SEc. 4.2.3 and Sec. 4.6]) Factors are a slippery topic because different computer programs will handle them in different ways. In particular, while SAS and SPSS use the same default for defining factors, JMP, R all used different defaults. A factor represents a qualitative variable with say $a$ levels by $a-1$ (or, if no intercept is in the model, possibly $a$ ) dummy variables. ALR[E6.16] describes one method for defining the dummy variables, using the following rules:

1. If a factor $A$ has $a$ levels, create $a$ dummy variables $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{a}$, such that $U_{j}$ has the value one when the level of $A$ is $j$, and value zero everywhere else.
2. Obtain a set of $a-1$ dummy variables to represent factor $A$ by dropping one of the dummy variables. For example, using the default coding in R , the first dummy variable $U_{1}$ is dropped, while in SAS and SPSS the last dummy variable is dropped.
3. JMP uses a completely different method.

Most of the discussion in ALR assumes the R default for defining dummy variables.
$\mathbf{R}$ The factor command is used to convert a numeric variable into a factor. The command will all its arguments is

```
> args(factor)
function (x = character(), levels, labels = levels, exclude = NA,
    ordered = is.ordered(x))
NULL
```

The argument x is the name of the variable to be turned into a factor, and is the only required argument. The argument levels is a vector of the names of the levels of $x$; if you don't specify the levels, then the program will use all the unique values of x , sorted in alphabetical order. Thus you will want to use the levels argument if the levels of a factor are, for example, "Low", "Medium" and "High" because by default factor will reorder them as "High," "Low" and "Medium." You can avoid this by setting levels=c("Low", "Medium", "High"). The next optional argument labels allows you to change the labels for the levels, so, for example, if the levels of the factor are 1,2 and 3 , you can change them with the argument labels=c("Low", "Medium", "High").

The next argument is ordered. If this is set to TRUE, then an ordered factor is created. Ordered factors compute the dummy variables as if the levels of the factor were qualitative, not quantitative. Ordered factors are never required for the methodology in ALR, and we recommend that you don't use this argument when creating a factor. Additional arguments concern the handling of missing values; see the help page for more information.

For the sleep data discussed in $\operatorname{ALR}[6.2]$, the variable $D$ is a qualitative variable with five levels, given by the integers $1,2,34$ and 5 . It can be declared a factor using

```
> sleep1$D <- factor(sleep1$D)
```

The terms for the factor created by R corresponds to our preferred method, dropping the dummy variable for the first level, and then using the remaining $U_{j}$.

If you use different definitions for the dummy variables for a factor:

1. Coefficient estimates are different because the terms in the mean function have different meaning. Consequently, $t$-statistics for individual terms are also different.
2. $F$-tests for the factor or for other terms in the mean function are the same regardless of the way the factor is defined.

### 6.2.1 No other predictors

$\mathbf{R} \operatorname{ALR}[T 6.1]$ is obtained by

```
> sleep1$D <- factor(sleep1$D)
> a1 <- lm(TS ~ D, sleep1)
> a0 <- update(a1, ~ . -1)
> summary(a0)
Call:
lm(formula = TS ~ D - 1, data = sleep1)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
Min & 1Q Median & 3Q & Max \\
-7.010 & -2.250 & -0.341 & 2.610 \\
6.817
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
D1 \(13.083 \quad 0.888 \quad 14.73<2 \mathrm{e}-16\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
D2 & 11.750 & 1.007 & 11.67 & \(2.9 \mathrm{e}-16\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
D3 & 10.310 & 1.191 & 8.65 & \(1.0 \mathrm{e}-11\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
D4 & 8.811 & 1.256 & 7.02 & \(4.3 \mathrm{e}-09\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
D5 & 4.071 & 1.424 & 2.86 & 0.0061
\end{tabular}
Residual standard error: 3.77 on 53 degrees of freedom
    (4 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.902, Adjusted R-squared: 0.892
F-statistic: 97.1 on 5 and 53 DF, p-value: <2e-16
> summary(a1)
```

Call:
$\operatorname{lm}(f o r m u l a=T S ~ \sim ~ D, ~ d a t a ~=~ s l e e p 1) ~$
Residuals:

| Min | 1Q Median | 3Q | Max |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -7.010 | -2.250 | -0.341 | 2.610 |
| 6.817 |  |  |  |

```
Coefficients:
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 13.083 0.888 14.73 < 2e-16
D2 -1.333 1.343 -0.99 0.3252
D3 -2.773 1.486 -1.87 0.0675
D4 -4.272 1.538 -2.78 0.0076
D5 -9.012 1.678
Residual standard error: 3.77 on 53 degrees of freedom
    (4 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.378, Adjusted R-squared: 0.331
F-statistic: 8.05 on 4 and 53 DF, p-value: 3.78e-05
```

Since the data frame sleep1 has missing values, we used na.action=na.omit to delete species with missing data. The dummy variables are labeled according to the name of the factor and the level for that dummy variable. For example, D 2 corresponds to the variable $U_{2}$ in ALR[T6.1B]. If the factor is defined using different variables then the labels for the terms are also different.

To produce the "pretty" output shown in ALR[T6.1] we used the R package xtable that takes standard R output and gives a table that can be inserted into a ${ }^{A} T_{E} X$ document for printing. If this is of interest to you, see the script for this chapter for the exact commands used.

### 6.2.2 Adding a predictor: Comparing regression lines

$\mathbf{R}$ The discussion in ALR[6.2.2] uses the appropriate R formulas for the models discussed.

### 6.3 MANY FACTORS

### 6.4 PARTIAL ONE-DIMENSIONAL MEAN FUNCTIONS

$\operatorname{ALR}[F 6.8]$ is much more compelling in color, and is shown here as Figure 6.1
$\mathbf{R}$ The alr3 package includes a command for fitting the partial one-dimensional or POD models described in ALR[6.4]. We illustrate the use of this command with the Australian Athletes data, and reproduce ALR[F6.8] in color.

```
> m <- pod(LBM~Ht+Wt+RCC, data=ais, group=Sex, mean.function="pod")
> anova(m)
POD Analysis of Variance Table for LBM, grouped by Sex
1: LBM ~ Ht + Wt + RCC
```



Fig. 6.1 Figure Alr[F6.8], in color.

```
LBM ~ Ht + Wt + RCC + Sex
LBM ~ eta0 + eta1 * Ht + eta2 * Wt + eta3 * RCC + Sex1 * (th02 +
    th12 * (eta1 * Ht + eta2 * Wt + eta3 * RCC))
LBM ~ Ht + Wt + RCC + Sex + Ht:Sex + Wt:Sex + RCC:Sex
        Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
common 198 2937
parallel 197 1457 1 1479 245.65<2e-16
pod 196 1186
```



```
> plot(m,pch=c("m","f"),colors=c("red","black"))
```

The pod command requires that you specify a formula, giving the response on the left and the variables on the right that make up the linear combination of terms. A new feature of this command is the required group argument that specifies the grouping variable. In this case, the linear predictor will be of the form $\beta_{1} H t+\beta_{2} W t+\beta_{3} R C C$, and it will be fit for each level of Sex. The argument mean.function is used to select which form of the POD mean function is to be used. The default is mean.function="pod", which fits the partial one-dimensional model to the groups, given for the example by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(L B M \mid \mathrm{pod})= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} H t+\beta_{2} W t+\beta_{3} R C C+ \\
& \beta_{4} \operatorname{Sex} 1+\beta_{5} \operatorname{Sex} 1 \times\left(\beta_{1} H t+\beta_{2} W t+\beta_{3} R C C\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S e x 1$ is a dummy variable equal to one when the grouping variable $S e x$ is equal to one and zero otherwise.

Three other choices of mean function are available. mean.function="common" that ignores the grouping variable, essentially equivalent to Model 4 in alr[6.2.2]. For the example, it is given by

$$
\mathrm{E}(L B M \mid \text { common })=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} H t+\beta_{2} W t+\beta_{3} R C C
$$

mean.function="parallel" that fits a mean function that is parallel within group, like Model 2 in alr[6.2.2]. For the example, it is

$$
\mathrm{E}(L B M \mid \text { parallel })=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} H t+\beta_{2} W t+\beta_{3} R C C+\beta_{4} S e x 1
$$

Finally, mean.function="general" fits a separate coefficient for each predictor in each group. This represents a larger, and more complex, mean function than the POD mean function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(L B M \mid \text { general })= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} H t+\beta_{2} W t+\beta_{3} R C C+\beta_{4} \operatorname{Sex} 1+ \\
& \beta_{5} \operatorname{Sex} 1 \times H t+\beta_{6} \operatorname{Sex} 1 \times W t+\beta_{7} \operatorname{Sex} 1 \times R C C
\end{aligned}
$$

Additional arguments to pod are the same as for 1 m , and include data to specify a data frame, subset to specify a subset of cases to use, and so on.

The anova helper command for POD models is a little different from the default method. Three $F$-tests are shown in the table; the first compares the first two mean functions; the second compares the parallel line mean function to the POD mean function and the third compares the POD mean function to the larger mean function with separate coefficients for each group. All $F$ tests use the error term from the largest mean function as the denominator. In this example, the POD mean function is a clear improvement over the parallel regression mean function, but the more general mean function is not an improvement over the POD mean function.

The plot method for POD models will produce the equivalent of Figure ??. The full complement of arguments and their defaults are for R are given by:

```
> plot(x, colors = rainbow(nlevels(x$group)),
+ pch = 1:nlevels(x$group), key = FALSE,
+ xlab = "Linear Predictor",
+ ylab = as.character(formula(x)[[2]]), ...)
```

Any additional arguments are graphical parameters. In this command, the argument x is the result of a call to the pod command. If key=TRUE, then you can add a key (or legend) to the plot by clicking the mouse in the location you want the key to appear.

Finally, pod models have all the usual helper commands, like residuals, fitted, predict and update that work in the usual way.

### 6.5 RANDOM COEFFICIENT MODELS

$\mathbf{R}$ The random coefficient model can be fit using the nlme package. This package is part of the base distribution of $R$. Here are the $R$ commands that give the computations discussed in Alr [6.5]; see Pinheiro and Bates (2000) for complete documentation. This example also uses lattice graphics; see Vr [4.5].

```
> library(nlme)
> library(lattice)
> data(chloride)
> xyplot(Cl ~ Month/Type, group=Marsh, data=chloride,
+ ylab="Cl (mg/liter)",
+ panel.groups=function(x,y,...){
+ panel.linejoin(x,y,horizontal=FALSE,...)
+ }
+ )
>m1 <- lme(Cl ~ Month + Type, data=chloride,
+ random=~ 1 + Type|Marsh)
> m2 <- update(m1, random= ~ 1|Marsh)
```

The model m 1 fits a separate intercept for each Type, since it is a factor, and a single slope for Month. In the random arguement, we specify a variance term 1 for a separate random intercept for each marsh, and Type, which allows the variation between marshes to be different for the two types. Model m2 is identical except the variation between marshes in a type is assumed to be the same. These two models can be compared by an analysis of variance:

```
> anova(m1,m2)
    Model df AIC BIC logLik Test L.Ratio p-value
m1 1 7 7 228.1 237.7 -107.1
m2 2 5 229.7 236.5 -109.8 1 vs 2 5.554 0.0622
intervals(m2)
Approximate 95% confidence intervals
Fixed effects:
            lower est. upper
(Intercept) -21.5084 -5.504 10.501
Month 0.7549 1.854 2.953
TypeRoadside 28.6917 50.572 72.452
attr(,"label")
[1] "Fixed effects:"
Random Effects:
    Level: Marsh
```

```
    lower est. upper
sd((Intercept)) 7.576 13.34 23.47
Within-group standard error:
lower est. upper
4.750 6.387 8.588
```

According to the anova table, the $p$-value is about 0.06 for the hypothesis that the variance between marshes is the same for the two types of march, versus the alternative that it is different. Continuing with the simpler model m2, we get estimates and confidence intervals for the population slope and intercept, for the variation in intercepts between marshes, and for the within-march variance.

## $r$ <br> Transformations

$\mathbf{R}$ This chapter uses graphs and smoothers in ways that are uncommon in most most statistical packages, including $R$. Using these methods in $R$ generally requires a sequence of commands that would be too tedious for most people to use on a regular basis.

Our solution to this problem is to automate this process with several commands that are included in the car package. These commands become available when you use the command library (alr3) to load the data files. In the main part of this chapter we show how to use these commands, along with an outline of what they do. Here is a description of coming attractions.

Transformation families We work with three families of transformations. The basic power family is computed with the function basicPower; the Box-Cox power family is computed with bcPower, and the Yeo-Johnson family, which can be used if the variable includes non-positive values, is computed with yjPower.

Transforming predictors The invTranPlot function provides a graphical method to transform a single predictor for linearity. The powerTransform function provides a method for transforming one or more variables for normality. It also permits conditioning on other, non-transformed variables.
Although these function are adequate for almost all applications, additional function are available in the car package that are not discussed in ALR, including boxTidwell which is essentially a numerical generalization of invTranPlot, crPlots for component plus residual plots.

Transforming the response The boxcox command in the MASS package implements the Box-Cox method of finding a power transformation. The function boxCox with a capital "C" extends the method to allow for using the Yeo-Johnson family of transformations. The powerTransform command provides numeric, rather than graphical, output, for the same method. The invResPlot is used to transform the response for linearity using a graph.

### 7.1 TRANSFORMATIONS AND SCATTERPLOTS

### 7.1.1 Power transformations

### 7.1.2 Transforming only the predictor variable

$\mathbf{R}$ To draw a graph like ALR[F7.3] with both points and several lines, you must first draw the graph with the plot command, and then add the lines with the lines helper command.

```
with(ufcwc, plot(Dbh, Height))
> lam <-c(1, 0, -1)
> new.dbh <- with(ufcwc, seq(min(Dbh), max(Dbh), length=100))
> for (j in 1:3){
+ m1 <- lm(Height ~ bcPower(Dbh,lam[j]), data=ufcwc)
+ lines(new.dbh,
+ predict(m1, data.frame(Dbh=new.dbh)), lty=j, col=j)
+ }
legend("bottomright", inset=.03, legend = as.character(lam),
+ lty=1:3, col=1:3)
```



We draw the plot and add three lines for $\lambda \in(-1,0,1)$. The variable new.dbh gives 100 equally spaced values between the minimum and maximum of $D b h$, for plotting positions. The for loop draws the lines. First, we use 1 m to fit the model implied by the transformation. The command bcPower, from the car package, transforms the predictor. The lines helper function adds the line to the plot. Since $m 1$ fits the regression of the response on $\psi_{S}(D b h, \lambda)$, in predict we need only specify values for $D b h$. The predict helper will then figure out how to compute $\psi_{S}(D b h, \lambda)$ from the values of $D b h$ provided. A legend (or key) was added to the plot. The helper command locator expects input from the user by clicking the mouse on the graph. This point will be the upper-left corner of the legend.

The car package includes a function called invTranPlot that automates this procedure.

```
> with(ufcwc, invTranPlot(Dbh, Height))
    lambda RSS
1 0.04788 152123
2 -1.00000 197352
3 0.00000 152232
41.00000 193740
```



The first two arguments are the predictor and the response, respectively. After the graph is drawn, the residual sum of squares for each value of $\lambda$ used in the plot is printed, including by default the value of $\lambda$ that minimizes the residual sum of squared errors. The function invTranEstimate can be called directly to get the optimal $\lambda$ without drawing the graph,

```
> unlist(with(ufcwc, invTranEstimate(Dbh,Height)))
    lambda lowerCI upperCI
0.04788 -0.16395 0.25753
```

We get $\hat{\lambda}=0.048$, close to the logarithmic transformation selected visually, with standard error 0.15 . The minimum value of $R S S=152,123$. The unlist command made the output prettier.

The command bcPower is used by invTranEstimate and by invTranPlot to compute the transformation. The form of this command is

```
> bcPower(U, lambda, jacobian.adjusted=FALSE)
```

where $U$ is a vector, matrix or data frame, lambda is the transformation parameter. If $U$ is a vector, then lambda is just a number, usually in the range from -2 to 2 ; if $U$ is a matrix or a data, frame, then lambda is a vector of numbers. The function returns $\psi_{S}(U, \lambda)$, as defined at $\operatorname{ALR}[E 7.3]$. If you set jacobian.adjusted=TRUE then $\psi_{M}(U, \lambda)$, from ALR[E7.6], is returned.

The yjPower command is similar but uses the Yeo-Johnson family $\psi_{Y J}(U, \lambda)$, ALR[7.4]. Finally, use basicPower for the power transformations $\psi(U, \lambda)$. For example, to plot a response $Y$ versus $\psi_{M}(U, 0)$, type

```
> plot(bcPower(U, 0, jacobian.adjusted=FALSE), Y)
```


### 7.1.3 Transforming the response only

$\mathbf{R}$ The method described $\operatorname{ALR}[7.1 .3]$ requires the steps: (1) fit the model with the response untransformed, and predictors transformed; (2) draw the inverse plot with fitted values on the horizontal axis, and the untransformed response on the vertical axis; (3) estimate the transformation by fitting a smoother to this graph. The only "smoother" we will discuss is fitting

$$
\mathrm{E}(\hat{Y} \mid Y)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \phi_{S}(Y, \lambda)
$$

which parallels the discussion for a predictor transformation.
For an example, we will use the highway accident data. We will assume the transformations of predictors found in ALR[7.2.2]

```
> highway <- transform(highway, logLen=log2(Len),
+ logADT=log2(ADT), logTrks=log2(Trks),
+ logSigs1=log2((Len*Sigs+1)/Len))
> m2 <- lm(Rate ~ logLen + logADT + logTrks + Slim + Shld + logSigs1,
+ data=highway)
> invTranPlot(highway$Rate,predict(m2))
    lambda RSS
1 0.1846 30.62
2 -1.0000 34.72
3 0.0000 30.73
4 1.0000 32.46
> unlist(invTranEstimate(highway$Rate,predict(m2)))
lambda lowerCI upperCI
0.1846 -0.5273 0.9279
```



We used the transform to add new columns required in ALR[7.2.2] to the highway data frame. The first argument is the name of the data frame, and the remaining arguments will be the labels of the newly created columns. For example, logLen will be the base-two logarithm of Len. The regression is fit with the "wrong" response. We then used the invTranPlot command that we used in the last section when transforming the predictor to get ALR[F7.7]. The invTranEstimate was then used provide a confidence interval for the value of $\lambda$ that minimizes the residual sum of squares. The numerical estimate agrees with the visual assessment of ALR[F7.7]: the best values of $\lambda$ are close to zero, but there is lots of variation here, reflected in the large standard error.

This process is automated with the invResPlot command in the car package,

```
> invResPlot(m2)
```

lambda RSS
10.184630 .62
$2-1.000034 .72$
30.000030 .73
41.000032 .46


This will produce the estimate from invTranEstimate, and the plot from invTranPlot. See the help page for all the options.

### 7.1.4 The Box and Cox method

$\mathbf{R}$ A very easy to use the Box and Cox method for automatically selecting $\lambda$ is described by VR[6.8] and Companion[SEC. 6.4.1] using the car function boxCox.

Continuing with the highway data from the last section,

```
> boxCox(m2, xlab=expression(lambda[y]))
> summary(powerTransform(m2))
bcPower Transformation to Normality
    Est.Power Std.Err. Wald Lower Bound Wald Upper Bound
Y1 -0.2384 0.2891 -0.805 0.3282
Likelihood ratio tests about transformation parameters
                    LRT df pval
LR test, lambda = (0) 0.6884 1 4.067e-01
LR test, lambda = (1) 18.2451 1 1.942e-05
```

The powerTransform command, with no arguments beyond the name of the regression model, gives a numeric summary corresponding to the graphical summary in boxCox.


### 7.2 TRANSFORMATIONS AND SCATTERPLOT MATRICES

The scatterplot matrix is the central graphical object in learning about regression models. You should draw them all the time; all problems with many continuous predictors should start with one.
$\mathbf{R}$ The primary command in R for drawing scatterplot matrices is the pairs command. The basic use of pairs requires that we specify the matrix or data frame to be plotted. For example, apart from a few statements added to improve formatting for the book, ALR[F7.5] is obtained from

```
> pairs(~ Rate + Len + ADT + Trks + Slim + Shld +
+ Sigs, data=highway)
```

The only required argument to pairs is a formula, here a one-sided formula, in which all the variables to be plotted appear to the right of the "~". You can replace the formula with a matrix or data-frame.

There are many enhancements possible for a scatterplot matrix, such as using colors or symbols for different groups of points, adding smoothers, for example, ols as a dashed line and loess as a connected line, and putting histograms on the diagonal. In the car, there is a function called scatterplotMatrix, Companion[SEC. 3.3.2] that adds a dizzying array of arguments for fine control over a scatterplot matrix, including these smoothers and histograms by default. The defaults for scatterplotMatrix are generally sensible, and will produce the plot shown in Figure 7.1


Fig. 7.1 Scatterplot matrix using the scatterplotMatrix function from car.

```
> scatterplotMatrix( ~ Rate + Len + ADT + Trks + Slim + Shld +
+ Sigs, data=highway)
```

One very useful argument to scatterplotMatrix is groups. Setting groups=Hwy results in a different color and symbol used for each unique value of the grouping variable. A legend is also added to the plot, but it can be hard to read and can be suppressed using the legend.plot=FALSE argument. An alias of scatterplotMatrix is spm.

### 7.2.1 The 1D estimation result and linearly related predictors

### 7.2.2 Automatic choice of transformation of the predictors

$\mathbf{R}$ One important use of scatterplot matrices is to help select transformations toward normality, with the goal of getting approximately linearly related predictors, ALR[7.2.1]. The car package includes one basic command powerTransform for this purpose, along with several helper commands that
work with it. Continuing with the highway data, suppose we want to consider transforming the five variables Len, ADT, Trks, Shld, Sigs1 discussed in alr[7.2.2]. The first step is to draw the scatterplot matrix discussed above, and shown in Figure 7.1] and ALr[F7.5]. Since curvature is apparent in plots of predictors versus predictors, transformations can help. We can next use Velilla's multivariate extension of the Box-Cox method to find starting guesses for transformations. This is what powerTransform does:

```
> highway$Sigs1 <-
+ (round(highway$Sigs*highway$Len) + 1)/highway$Len
> ans <- powerTransform(cbind(Len, ADT, Trks, Shld, Sigs1) ~ 1,
+ data=highway)
summary(ans)
bcPower Transformations to Multinormality
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& Est.Power & Std.Err. Wald Lower Bound Wald Upper Bound \\
Len & 0.1429 & 0.2126 & -0.2738 & 0.5596 \\
ADT & 0.0501 & 0.1204 & -0.1860 & 0.2862 \\
Trks & -0.7019 & 0.6178 & -1.9129 & 0.5090 \\
Shld & 1.3455 & 0.3631 & 0.6339 & 2.0571 \\
Sigs1 & -0.2440 & 0.1498 & -0.5377 & 0.0496
\end{tabular}
Likelihood ratio tests about transformation parameters
    LRT df pval
LR test, lambda =(0 0 0 0 0) 23.373 5 0.0002864
LR test, lambda = (\begin{array}{lllll}{1}&{1}&{1}&{1}&{1}\end{array})133.179 5 0.0000000
LR test, lambda =( (0 0 0 1 0) 6.143 5 0.2925204
```

In the first line, we created the variable Sigs1 because it did not appear in the data frame. We used the round command to make sure that Sigs $\times$ Len, which is the number if signals, is exactly an integer.

The syntax for transformating predictors has changed from older versions of alr3. The variables to be used in powerTransform are specified using a formula. On the left-hand side is a matrix giving all the variables that may be transformed. The right-hand side will generally just be 1, indicating that we want marginal transformations toward normality without conditioning on any predictors. The right-side can be any valid linear model, and transformations will be done conditional on the linear model. Only the predictors on the lefthand side are transformed. The data, subset and na.action items are used as in lm. We used the summary helper to print the answer; this is almost the same as ALR[T7.2], but it now includes confidence intervals about the estimated transformations rather than Wald tests.

Examining the output, it appears that all the estimated powers are close to zero (for logarithms) except for Shld. Reasonable rounded values for the transformations are automatically tested by the function with a $p$-value close
to 0.3 . You could expicity get a test for any value you like. For example, for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=(0,0,-1,1,0)$.

```
> testTransform(ans,lambda=c(0, 0, -1, 1, 0))
    LRT df pval
LR test, lambda = (0 0 -1 1 0) 4.393 5 0.4944
```

The large $p$-value suggests that is value is also a plausible choice for the transformations.

You can add the transformed values to the data frame using the following rather obscure command:

```
> highway <- transform(highway,
+ basicPower(highway[,names(coef(ans))],
+ coef(ans, round=TRUE)))
```

This uses the transform command to add columns to highway, as the basic power transformations using the rounded powers. The names (coef (ans)) gets the names of the columns of highway that are to be transformed. We used basic powers because these are easier to understand and are equivalent in modeling to the Box-Cox power $\$^{1}$ You should examine the transformed predictors in a scatter plot matrix to make sure the transformations appear satisfactory.

### 7.3 TRANSFORMING THE RESPONSE

$\mathbf{R}$ See Sections 7.1.3-7.1.4 above for the examples in this section.

### 7.4 TRANSFORMATIONS OF NON-POSITIVE VARIABLES

$\mathbf{R}$ All the transformation functions in carcar can be used with the YeoJohnson family, usually with the family="jyPower" argument. An alternative to the Yel-Johnson family is to add a constant to the response to make it strictly positive, but this latter method is relatively arbitrary, and little information is generally available in the data to help select the added constant.

[^5]
## 8 <br> Regression Diagnostics: Residuals

### 8.1 THE RESIDUALS

R Suppose you have fit a linear model using lm, and named it, for example, m1. The commands listed in Table 8.1 give helper functions to get the quantities described in this chapter or in the next chapter.

You can use these quantities in graphs or for any other purpose. For example,

```
> plot(predict(m1), residuals(m1, type="pearson"))
> plot(predict(m1), predict(m2))
> press <- residuals(m1) / (1 - hatvalues(m1))
```

The first is the usual plot of residuals versus fitted values, and the second is the plot of fitted values from $m 2$ versus the fitted values from $m 1$, and the third computes and saves the PRESS residuals, ALR[P9.4].

### 8.1.1 Difference between $\hat{e}$ and e

### 8.1.2 The hat matrix

$\mathbf{R}$ The hat-matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is rarely computed in full because it is an $n \times n$ matrix that can be very large. Its diagonal entries, the leverages, are computed simply by hatvalues (m1) for a model m1. Should you wish to compute $\mathbf{H}$ in full, you can use the following commands. First, suppose that $X$ is an $n \times p$ matrix. Then

Table 8.1 R commands relating to residuals. For example, residuals (m1) will return the residuals from the model m 1 .

|  | Quantities described in ALR[8] |
| :---: | :---: |
| predict | Fitted values, ALr[E8.3] for OLS and whs. |
| residuals | Residuals, ALR[8.4] for OLS only. |
| residuals(m1,type="pearson") | Residuals, alr[E8.13], for wLs. For ols, the Pearson residuals and the ordinary residuals are the same, so this option can be used for all least squares models. |
| hatvalues | Leverages, ALR[E8.11], for olS and wLS. In S-Plus, use lm.influence (m1) \$hat. |

Quantities described in ALR[9]. All of these functions are available in the base version of R. If you are using S-Plus, we recommend you use the car library. These commands are then available for S-Plus as well.

| rstandard | Standardized residual, ALR[E9.3]. |
| :--- | :--- |
| rstudent | Studentized residuals, ALR[E9.4]. |
| cooks.distance | Cook's distance, ALR[E9.6]. |

```
decomp <- qr(cbind(rep(1,n),X))
```

> Hat <- qr.Q(decomp) \% \% \% t(qr.Q(decomp))

This is probably nonintuitive, so here is an explanation. First, append a column of ones to $X$ for the intercept. Then, compute the QR decomposition, ALR[A.9], of the augmented matrix. The helper function qr.Q returns $Q$, and the second command is just alr[EA.27].

### 8.1.3 Residuals and the hat matrix with weights

As pointed out in ALR[8.1.3], the residuals for WLS are $\sqrt{w_{i}} \times\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)$. Whatever computer program you are using, you need to check to see how residuals are defined.
$\mathbf{R}$ In $R$, the correct residuals for WLS are given by residuals(m1, type="pearson"). These are also correct for OLS, and so these should always be used in graphical procedures.

### 8.1.4 The residuals when the model is correct

### 8.1.5 The residuals when the model is not correct

### 8.1.6 Fuel consumption data

$\mathbf{R}$ The figure ALR[F8.5] has six separate graphs. The first four plots are plots of residuals versus each of the four predictors in turn, the fifth is a plot of residuals versus fitted values and the last a summary graph of the response versus fitted values. The first five of these plots are drawn automatically using the plotResiduals

```
> fuel2001 <- transform(fuel2001, Fuel = 1000 * FuelC / Pop,
+ Dlic = 1000 * Drivers / Pop)
> m1 <- lm(Fuel ~ Tax + Dlic + Income + log2(Miles), data=fuel2001)
> residualPlots(m1)
```



A new feature of this command in the car package is automatic point marking. In each of the graphs the labels of the id.n points with the largest absolute residuals and the id.n points with the most extreme values on the horizontal axis are labeled with their case labels. You can turn the labeling off with the argument id. $\mathrm{n}=0$ on the call to plotResiduals. You can also control which plots are shown if you don't want to see all of them, or control the layout; see the help page for plotResiduals. For example,

```
> residualPlots(m1, ~ log2(Miles), fitted=FALSE)
> residualPlots(m1, ~ 1, fitted=TRUE)
```

The first of these would plot only versus $\log 2$ (Miles), and the second would plot only against fitted values.

The last of the plots, of the response versus the fitted values, is the default plot produced by the mmp or its equivalent marginalModelPlot command:

```
> marginalModelPlot(m1, id.n=4)
```



In this case we set id. $n=4$ to label more points.
R has a mechanism for identifying points that is fairly general, but clumsy and not very pleasant to use. The basic outline is:

1. Draw a graph. The method works best with a scatterplot, so that is the only case we consider. Let's suppose the plot was created by
$>\operatorname{plot}(x, y)$
2. While the graph is on the screen, use the identify helper to identify points. The basic command is
```
> identify(x, y, labels)
```

where labels is a vector of labels or numbers of the same length as x and y giving the labels that will be used to identify the points. Nothing seems to happen when you type this command. The program is waiting for you to click the mouse button on the graph. If you click close enough to a point, the label corresponding to the nearest point will be printed on the graph. This will continue until you press "escape," select Stop $\rightarrow$ Stop locator from the plot's menu, or select Stop after clicking the right mouse button.

The mechanism for labelling points noninteractively uses the text command; see the script for this chapter for an example.

We have added in the car package a function called showLabels that can be used to label points either interactively or automatically. This function is
called by all the car graphics functions, but you can use it with any graph you create with plot. See the on-line documentation for showLabels, or ComPANION[SEC. 3.5].
$R$ has its own standard suite of residual plots produced by the plot helper. Some of these "default" plots are not discussed in ALR, and so we will not discuss them further here, either.

### 8.2 TESTING FOR CURVATURE

$\mathbf{R}$ The command residualplots illustrated above also implements the curvature tests described in ALR[8.2].

```
> residualPlots(m1)
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& Test stat \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)\) \\
Tax & -1.077 & 0.287 \\
Dlic & -1.922 & 0.061 \\
Income & -0.084 & 0.933 \\
log2(Miles) & -1.347 & 0.185 \\
Tukey test & -1.446 & 0.148
\end{tabular}
```


### 8.3 NONCONSTANT VARIANCE

### 8.3.1 Variance Stabilizing Transformations

### 8.3.2 A diagnostic for nonconstant variance

$\mathbf{R}$ Here is the complete outline of the computations for the test for heteroscedasticity for the snow geese data discussed in ALR[8.3.2]:

```
> m1 <- lm(photo ~ obs1, snowgeese)
> sig2 <- sum(residuals(m1, type="pearson")^2) /
+ length(snowgeese$obs1)
> U <- residuals(m1)^2 / sig2
> m2 <- update(m1, U ~ .)
> anova(m2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: U
    Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
obs1 1 163 162.8 50.8 8.5e-09
Residuals 43 138 3.2
```

After getting the data, the model with term obs1 is fit. The quantity sig2 is the estimate of $\sigma^{2}$, using $n$ as a denominator rather than $n-p^{\prime}$. Using pearson
residuals make this computation correct even for wLS. The score variable $U$ is computed by the next command, and then m 2 is the regression of $U$ on the terms in the original model, using the update helper. The score test is $1 / 2$ the sum of squares for regression, for $(1 / 2) 162.83=81.41$.

The ncvTest automates computing the score test for nonconstant variance. The command called ncvTest is part of the car package. For the snow geese data,

```
> ncvTest(m1)
Non-constant Variance Score Test
Variance formula: ~ fitted.values
Chisquare = 81.41 Df = 1 p = 1.831e-19
```

In the sniffer data, we would like to consider more variance functions. Some of the results in ALR[T8.4] can be obtained as follows:

```
> m1 <- lm(Y ~ TankTemp + GasTemp + TankPres + GasPres, sniffer)
> ncvTest(m1, ~ TankTemp, data=sniffer)
Non-constant Variance Score Test
Variance formula: ~ TankTemp
Chisquare = 9.706 Df = 1 p = 0.001837
> ncvTest(m1, ~ TankTemp + GasPres, data=sniffer)
Non-constant Variance Score Test
Variance formula: ~ TankTemp + GasPres
Chisquare = 11.78 Df = 2 p = 0.002769
> ncvTest(m1, ~ TankTemp + GasTemp + TankPres +
+ GasPres, data=sniffer)
Non-constant Variance Score Test
Variance formula: ~ TankTemp + GasTemp + TankPres + GasPres
Chisquare = 13.76 Df = 4 p = 0.008102
> ncvTest(m1)
Non-constant Variance Score Test
Variance formula: ~ fitted.values
Chisquare = 4.803 Df = 1 p = 0.02842
```

To use ncvTest, specify the regression model, then a one-sided formula that specifies the model for the variance, and then if necessary the data frame.

### 8.3.3 Additional comments

### 8.4 GRAPHS FOR MODEL ASSESSMENT

### 8.4.1 Checking mean functions

$\mathbf{R}$ The car package has two commands for drawing marginal model plots; mmp draws one marginal model plot, while mmps draws many such plots. You can also use the full names of these functions, marginalModelPlot and marginalModelPlots, respectively.
$\operatorname{ALR}[F 8.11]$ is created by

```
> c1 <- lm(Height ~ Dbh, ufcwc)
> mmp(c1, ufcwc$Dbh, label="Diameter, Dbh",
+ col.line=c("blue", "red"))
```

The first argument to mmp is the only required argument, and is the name of a regression model. The second argument is the quantity to put on the horizontal axis of the plot, with predict(c1) as the default. We have shown two additiona arguments, for the horizontal axis label and the colors of the two smooths; neither argument is required, and indeed blue and red are the default colors.

The command mmp uses the loess smoother, with defaults degree=1, span=2/3; both of these values can be changed when using the command. An interesting exercise would be to implement marginal model plots with other smoothers, and with non-deterministic methods for selecting a bandwidth parameter.

To draw marginal model plots versus each predictor and versus fitted values, use mmps. The following will draw three of the four plots in ALR[F8.13]:

```
> m1 <- lm(logFertility ~ logPPgdp + Purban, UN2)
>mmps(m1)
```

The fourth plot in ALR[F8.13] plots in a direction that is a random linear combination of the terms. You can get plots like this one using the randomLinComb command in the alr3 package,

```
> mmp(m1, u=randomLinComb(m1))
```



Every time you draw this last plot, it will be different because the random numbers used will change. The list of names returned are the case names of identified points. You can suppress point labels the the id.n=0 argument. If you want to reproduce a plot, use the seed argument:
$>m m p(m 1, u=r a n d o m L i n C o m b(m 1, ~ s e e d=254346576)) ~$

### 8.4.2 Checking variance functions

$\mathbf{R}$ The commands mmp and mmps include an argument sd that if set to sd=TRUE will add the standard deviation smooths to the plot, as described in ALR[8.4.2].

## 9

## Outliers and Influence

Companion[Chapter 6] covers much of the material in the chapter at greater length.

### 9.1 OUTLIERS

### 9.1.1 An outlier test

$\mathbf{R}$ The function rstandard computes the standardized residuals ALR[E9.3].
You can also use ALR[E9.3] to compute these directly. Given a model m1,

```
> ri <- residuals(m1, type="pearson") /
+ (sigma.hat(m1) * sqrt(1 - hatvalues(m1)))
```

Pearson residuals guarantee that the same formula will work with weighted or unweighted least squares.

The outlier test is computed with rstudent. These, too, can be computed directly. For a model m1, we use ALR[E9.4],
> ti <- ri * sqrt((m1\$df-1)/(m1\$df - ri^2))
The quantity $m 1 \$ \mathrm{df}$ is the df for residuals, equal to $n-p^{\prime}$.

### 9.1.2 Weighted least squares

### 9.1.3 Significance levels for the outlier test

R Significance levels for the outlier test use the pt command. For example, if the largest in absolute value of $n=65$ Studentized residuals is 2.85 , with $p^{\prime}=5$, the df for error is $n-p^{\prime}-1=65-5-1=59$. The significance level, using the Bonferroni bound, is

```
> 65 * 2 * (1 - pt(2.85, 59))
```

[1] 0.3908
By subracting the result of the pt command from one, we get the upper tail, rather than the lower tail. We multiply by two to get a two-tailed test. We multiply by $n=65$ for the Bonferroni inequality.

If you have a regression model $m$, the test for one outlier is.

```
> n * 2 * (1 - pt(max(abs(rstudent(m)), m$df - 1)))
```

The outlierTest automates the process of identifying outliers. Using the example from the help page for outlierTest, which uses a data file in the car package,

```
> outlierTest(lm(prestige ~ income + education, data=Duncan))
No Studentized residuals with Bonferonni p < 0.05
Largest |rstudent|:
    rstudent unadjusted p-value Bonferonni p
minister 3.135 0.003177 0.1430
```


### 9.1.4 Additional comments

### 9.2 INFLUENCE OF CASES

R Table 8.1 lists the fundamental commands for influence and outlier statistics. Each of the commands returns a vector.

In addition, R will compute and return the building blocks that are used in computing these statistics. In particular, the command influence in R returns a structure of these building blocks. For example, if you have a model m1, then type

```
> ans <- influence(m1)
```

ans\$coefficients returns a matrix with $n$ rows and $p^{\prime}$ columns whose $i$ th row is $\hat{\beta}_{(i)}$, $\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{E} 9.5]$. This latter quantity was used to draw aLR[F9.1]. ans $\$$ sigma returns a vector of the $\hat{\sigma}_{(i)}$, the square roots of the estimate of $\sigma$, each computed with one case deleted. Finally, ans\$hat returns the leverage.

In linear models, all the leave-one-out statistics can be computed from the leverages and residuals, so neither of the first two quantities are computed in an influence analysis.

R make refitting a regression with one (or a few) cases deleted easy. Suppose your model m 1 was fit with $n=96$ cases, and you want to refit, leaving out cases $2,7,16$. You need only type

```
> m2 <- update(m1, subset=(1:96)[-c(2, 7, 16)])
```

which uses the subset argument to update to refit with the specified cases deleted. The value of the subset argument can be either a vector of case numbers, as is the case here, or a vector of logical values with TRUE for values to be included, and FALSE for values to be excluded.

### 9.2.1 Cook's distance

$\mathbf{R}$ The command cooks.distance in R computes Cook's distance. It can also be computed directly from ALR[E9.8] for a model m1,

```
> Di <- (ri^2 /m1$rank) * (hatvalues(m1))/(1 - hatvalues(m1))
```

m1 $\$$ rank counts up the number of coefficients estimated in the mean function, so it is equal to $p^{\prime}$.

### 9.2.2 Magnitude of $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

$\mathbf{R}$ The command infIndexPlot in the car package is used to draw index plots of diagnostic statistics, like ALR[F9.3]. Here are the commands to draw this figure. The third panel down, which in not in ALR[F9.3] gives the Bonferroni significance levels for testing each observation in turn to be an outlier. Since these are upper bounds for most cases the upper bound is equal to one.

```
> m1 <- lm(y ~ BodyWt + LiverWt + Dose, rat)
> infIndexPlot(m1)
```



This function also gives an index plot of the $p$-values for the (two-tailed) outlier test based on the Bonferroni inequality.

### 9.2.3 Computing $D_{i}$

### 9.2.4 Other measures of influence

R Just a reminder: added-variable plots are available in the car package; see Section 3.1 .

### 9.3 NORMALITY ASSUMPTION

R The qqnorm command is used to draw normal probability plots. Here are the commands to draw alr [F9.5], which shows two normal probability plots.

```
> m1 <- lm(Dheight ~ Mheight, heights)
> t1 <- lm(Time ~T1+T2,transact)
> par(mfrow=c(1,2), mar=c(4,3, 0, .5)+.1, mgp=c(2, 1, 0),pch="o")
> qqnorm(residuals(m1), xlab="(a) Heights data", main="")
> qqnorm(residuals(t1), xlab="(a) Transaction data", main="")
```


(a) Heights data

(a) Transaction data

## 10 <br> Variable Selection

### 10.1 THE ACTIVE TERMS

The first example in this chapter uses randomly generated data. This can be helpful in trying to understand issues against a background where we know the right answers. Generating random data is possible in most statistical packages, though doing so may not be easy or intuitive.
$\mathbf{R}$ Here is code in R for generating the random data with standard normal random variables, and then fitting the regression for the first case in ALR[10.1]

```
> set.seed(123456)
> case1 <- data.frame(x1=rnorm(100), x2=rnorm(100),
+ x3=rnorm(100), x4=rnorm(100))
> e <- rnorm(100)
> case1$y <- 1 + case1$x1 + case1$x2 + e
> m1 <- lm(y ~ ., data=case1)
```

The set. seed function is used to initialize the random number generator to get the same answers every time I repeat this simulation in writing this primer. Setting the seed is a good idea in general, but you should use a different seed for every simulation. The data.frame command created a data frame, with each variable consisting of 100 standard normal pseudo-random numbers. The variable case $1 \$ y$ was added to the data frame to be the response, and then the model was fit. The formula y ${ }^{\sim}$. uses $y$ as the response and all other columns in the data frame as predictors.

Case 2 is more complicated because the random predictors are correlated. In $R$, you can use the function rmvnorm in the mvtnorm package.

```
Var2 <- matrix(c(1, 0, .95, 0,
    0, 1, 0,-.95,
    .95, 0, 1, 0,
    0,-.95, 0, 1), ncol=4)
library(mvtnorm)
X <- rmvnorm(100, sigma=Var2)
dimnames(X)[[2]] <- paste("x", 1:4, sep="")
case2 <- data.frame(X)
case2$y <- 1 + case2$x1 + case2$x2 + e
>m2 <- lm(y ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4, data=case2)
```


### 10.1.1 Collinearity

$\mathbf{R}$ The variance inflation factors, defined following ALR[E10.5], are computed by the command vif in the car package. Here are the variance inflation factors for the first two examples in $\operatorname{ALR}$ [10.1]

```
> vif(m1)
```

```
    x1 x2 x3 x4
1.052 1.063 1.034 1.041
> vif(m2)
    x1 x2 x3 x4
12.46 12.82 12.51 12.88
```

As expected, in the first case the variance inflation factors are all close to one, but in the second example they are all large.

### 10.1.2 Collinearity and variances

### 10.2 VARIABLE SELECTION

### 10.2.1 Information criteria

The information criteria $\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{E} 10.7]-\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{E} 10.9]$ depend only on the $R S S$, $p^{\prime}$, and possibly an estimate of $\sigma^{2}$, and so if these are needed for a particular model, they can be computed from the usual summaries available in a fitted regression model.
$\mathbf{R}$ The extractAIC can be used to compute $A I C, B I C$ and $C_{p}$. For a fitted subset model m0 and a fitted larger model m1, the following commands extract these quantities:

Table 10.1 R commands relating to subset selection.
Fit all possible subsets and find the best few
leaps
Uses the Furnival and Wilson algorithm to examine all possible regres-
sions. The package leaps is loaded with the alr3 package. If you
choose to try this, we recommand using the subsets command in car,
which will provide graphical output in something called a $C_{p}$ plot. This
command does not work well with factors and interactions and is of
limited value.

|  | Functions based on stepwise selection |
| :--- | :--- |
| step | Use this function for both forward selection, backward elimination, or <br> a combination of them, based on any of the information criteria except <br> for PRESS. |
| drop1 | This command will fit all models obtained by a current fitted model |
| by dropping one term, and gives very easy to interpret output. With |  |
| this command, you can do backward elimination "by hand," one step |  |
| at a time. This is particularly helpful in problems with factors and |  |
| interactions, since dropping a main effect from a mean function that |  |
| includes interactions with that variable is not recommended. |  |
| add1 | Adds one term from a potential pool of terms to the fitted model. This <br> can be used for forward selection "by hand." |

```
> extractAIC(m0, k=2) # give AIC
```

> extractAIC(m0, k=log(length(residuals(m0)))) \# gives BIC
> extractAIC(m0, scale=sigmaHat(m1)~2) \# gives Cp

### 10.2.2 Computationally intensive criteria

Computation of $P R E S S, \operatorname{ALR}[E 10.10]$, is not common in regression programs, but it is easy to obtain given the residuals and leverages from a fitted model.
$\mathbf{R}$ In R, given a model m1, PRESS can be computed as

```
> PRESS <- sum((residuals(m1, type="pearson") /
+ (1-ls.diag(m1)$hat))^2)
```

This simple computation works for linear models, but not for more complex models such as nonlinear or generalized linear models.

### 10.2.3 Using subject-matter knowledge

### 10.3 COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

$\mathbf{R}$ Four basic commands are included for use when examining many possible regression models, as listed in Table 10.1 .

The primary command we recommend using is step. Using the highway data in ALR[T10.5], we start by fitting the largest and smallest models that we want to consider:

```
> highway <- transform(highway, logLen=log2(Len),
\(+\quad \log A D T=\log 2(A D T), \operatorname{logTrks}=\log 2(T r k s)\),
\(+\quad \operatorname{logSigs1=log2((Len*Sigs+1)/Len))~}\)
\(>m 1<-\operatorname{lm}(\log 2(R a t e) \quad \sim \operatorname{logLen~+~logADT~+~logTrks~+~logSigs1~+~}\)
+ Slim + Shld + Lane + Acpt + Itg + Lwid + Hwy,
+ data=highway)
> m0 <- lm(log2(Rate) ~ logLen, data=highway)
```

As discussed in $\operatorname{ALR}[10.2 .1], \log (L e n)$ will be in all subsets. One of the terms, $H w y$, is a factor with 3 df . The first argument to step is the name of a model, and for forward selection, it is the smallest model considered. The argument scope gives explicity the the lower and upper models, via one-sided formulas. The direction is specified in quotation marks, and then the data frame must be named. The output of this command is very long:

```
> ansf1 <- step(m0,scope=list(lower=~ logLen,
+ upper=~logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 +
+ Slim + Shld + Lane + Acpt + Itg +
+ Lwid + Hwy),
+ direction="forward", data=highway)
```

```
Start: AIC=-43.92
```

Start: AIC=-43.92
log2(Rate) ~ logLen

|  | Df | Sum of Sq | RSS | AIC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| + Slim | 1 | 5.30 | 6.11 | -66.3 |
| + Acpt | 1 | 4.37 | 7.04 | -60.8 |
| + Shld | 1 | 3.55 | 7.86 | -56.5 |
| + logSigs1 | 1 | 2.00 | 9.41 | -49.4 |
| + logTrks | 1 | 1.52 | 9.90 | -47.5 |
| + Hwy | 1 | 1.04 | 10.37 | -45.7 |
| + logADT | 1 | 0.89 | 10.52 | -45.1 |
| <none> |  |  | 11.41 | -43.9 |
| + Lane | 1 | 0.55 | 10.87 | -43.8 |
| + Itg | 1 | 0.45 | 10.96 | -43.5 |
| + Lwid | 1 | 0.39 | 11.03 | -43.3 |

```
Step: AIC=-66.28
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + Slim
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& Df & Sum of \(\operatorname{Sq}\) & RSS & AIC \\
+ Acpt & 1 & 0.600 & 5.51 & -68.3 \\
+ logTrks & 1 & 0.548 & 5.56 & -67.9 \\
<none> & & & 6.11 & -66.3 \\
+ logSigs1 & 1 & 0.305 & 5.81 & -66.3 \\
+ Shld & 1 & 0.068 & 6.04 & -64.7
\end{tabular}
```

+ logADT 1 0.053 6.06 -64.6
+ Lwid 1 0.035 6.08 -64.5
+ Hwy 1 0.028 6.08 -64.5
+ Lane 1 0.007 6.11 -64.3
+ Itg 1 0.006 6.11 -64.3
Step: AIC=-68.31
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + Slim + Acpt
Df Sum of Sq RSS AIC
+ logTrks 1 0.360 5.15 -68.9
<none> 5.51 -68.3
+ logSigs1 1 0.250 5.26 -68.1
+ Hwy 1 0.139 5.37 -67.3
+ Shld 1 0.072 5.44 -66.8
+ logADT 1 0.032 5.48 -66.5
+ Lane 1 0.031 5.48 -66.5
+ Itg 1 0.028 5.48 -66.5
+ Lwid 1 0.026 5.49 -66.5
Step: AIC=-68.94
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + Slim + Acpt + logTrks
Df Sum of Sq RSS AIC
<none> 5.15 -68.9
+ Hwy 1 0.1782 4.97 -68.3
+ Shld 1 0.1359 5.02 -68.0
+ logSigs1 1 0.1053 5.05 -67.7
+ logADT 1 0.0650 5.09 -67.4
+ Lwid 1 0.0396 5.11 -67.2
+ Itg 1 0.0228 5.13 -67.1
+ Lane 1 0.0069 5.14 -67.0
> ansf1
Call:
lm(formula = log2(Rate) ~ logLen + Slim + Acpt + logTrks, data = highway)
Coefficients:

| (Intercept) | logLen | Slim | Acpt | logTrks |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.0110 | -0.2357 | -0.0460 | 0.0159 | -0.3290 |

```

The default criterion for fitting is \(A I C\). Step 1 gives the summary for each mean function obtained by adding one term to the base model given by mo. The models are ordered, so the one that minimizes AIC appears first.

At Step 2, Slim is added to the current model, and all the mean functions add one term to \(\log (\) Len \()\) and Slim. Steps continue until the model at the previous step, marked <none> has smaller AIC than adding the next term; in this example this occurs at Step 4, so the base model for this step is taken as the minimizer of \(A I C\). The object ansf1 is the fitted model that minimizes AIC.

Backward elimination is similar, except the specified model is the largest one. The other arguments are similar to the arguments for forward selection. In this example, we have set scale=sigmaHat (m1) \({ }^{\wedge} 2\), in which case the selection criterion is \(C_{p}\), not \(A I C\). If you set \(\mathrm{k}=\log (\) length \((\) residual \(\$ \$ \mathrm{~m} 1)\) ), then \(B I C\) will be used. You can't use PRESS with this command.
```

> ansf2 <- step(m1, scope=list(lower=~ logLen,

+ upper=~logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 + Slim +
+ Shld + Lane + Acpt + Itg + Lwid + Hwy),
+ direction="backward", data=highway,
+ scale=sigmaHat(m1)^2)
Start: AIC=12
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 + Slim + Shld +
Lane + Acpt + Itg + Lwid + Hwy

|  | Df | Sum of $\operatorname{Sq}$ | RSS | Cp |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - Lane | 1 | 0.000 | 4.05 | 10.0 |
| - Lwid | 1 | 0.032 | 4.08 | 10.2 |
| - Hwy | 1 |  | 0.110 | 4.16 |
| 10.7 |  |  |  |  |
| - Shld | 1 | 0.114 | 4.17 | 10.8 |
| - Slim | 1 |  | 0.137 | 4.19 |
| - | 10.9 |  |  |  |
| - Itg | 1 | 0.204 | 4.26 | 11.4 |
| - logTrks | 1 | 0.226 | 4.28 | 11.5 |
| - logADT | 1 | 0.253 | 4.31 | 11.7 |
| <none> |  |  | 4.05 | 12.0 |
| - logSigs1 | 1 | 0.458 | 4.51 | 13.1 |
| - Acpt | 1 | 0.477 | 4.53 | 13.2 |

Step: AIC=10
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 + Slim + Shld +
Acpt + Itg + Lwid + Hwy
Df Sum of Sq RSS Cp

- Lwid 1 0.034 4.09 8.23
- Shld 1 0.115 4.17 8.77
- Hwy 1 0.120 4.17 8.81
- Slim 1 0.137 4.19 8.92
- Itg 1 0.215 4.27 9.44
- logTrks 1 0.2324.28 9.55

```
```

- logADT 1 0.280 4.33 9.87
<none> 4.05 10.00
- logSigs1 1 0.472 4.53 11.15
- Acpt 1 0.477 4.53 11.18
Step: AIC=8.23
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 + Slim + Shld +
Acpt + Itg + Hwy
Df Sum of Sq RSS Cp
- Shld 1 0.081 4.17 6.77
- Hwy 1 0.092 4.18 6.84
- Slim 1 0.200 4.29 7.56
- logTrks 1 0.205 4.29 7.59
<none> 4.09 8.23
- Itg 1 0.334 4.42 8.46
- logADT 1 0.469 4.56 9.35
- Acpt 1 0.470 4.56 9.36
- logSigs1 1 0.585 4.67 10.13
Step: AIC=6.77
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 + Slim + Acpt +
Itg + Hwy

|  | Df | Sum of $\operatorname{Sq}$ | RSS | Cp |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - Hwy | 1 | 0.065 | 4.23 | 5.21 |
| - logTrks | 1 | 0.179 | 4.35 | 5.96 |
| <none> |  |  | 4.17 | 6.77 |
| - Itg | 1 | 0.417 | 4.58 | 7.55 |
| - Acpt | 1 | 0.453 | 4.62 | 7.79 |
| - Slim | 1 | 0.579 | 4.75 | 8.63 |
| - logSigs1 | 1 | 0.583 | 4.75 | 8.65 |
| - logADT | 1 | 0.716 | 4.88 | 9.54 |

Step: AIC=5.21
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + logADT + logTrks + logSigs1 + Slim + Acpt +
Itg
Df Sum of Sq RSS Cp

- logTrks 1 0.156 4.39 4.25
<none> 4.23 5.21
- Acpt 1 0.402 4.64 5.88
- Slim 1 0.517 4.75 6.65
- Itg 1 0.602 4.84 7.22
- logSigs1 1 0.644 4.88 7.50

```
```

- logADT 1 0.794 5.03 8.49
Step: AIC=4.25
log2(Rate) ~ logLen + logADT + logSigs1 + Slim + Acpt + Itg
<none> Df Sum of Sq RSS R Cp
- Slim 1 0.483 4.87 5.47
- Acpt 1 0.515 4.90 5.68
- Itg 1 0.646 5.04 6.55
- logADT 1 0.850 5.24 7.91
- logSigs1 1 0.927 5.32 8.43

```

The commands drop1 and add1 do one step of these methods, so you can do the stepwise fitted "by hand."

\subsection*{10.3.1 Subset selection overstates significance}

\subsection*{10.4 WINDMILLS}

\subsection*{10.4.1 Six mean functions}

\subsection*{10.4.2 A computationally intensive approach}

The data for the windmill example in ALr[10.4.2] is not included with the alr3 library, and must be downloaded separately from www.stat.umn.edu/alr. If you are connected to the internet, you can load the data file with this command
```

> loc <- "http://www.stat.umn.edu/alr/data/wm5.txt"
> wm5 <- read.table(loc, header=TRUE)

```

The R commands used to compute \(\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{F} 10.1]\) are given in the script for this chapter. The process requires many statements, but the method is straightforward. The command to compute the simulation in the script for this chapter has a comment marker in front of it, as the simulation can take several hours to complete. The results from the simulation discussed in \(\operatorname{ALR}[10.4 .2]\) are available from the data page at www.stat.umn.edu/alr in the file sim1.out.

\section*{11}

\section*{Nonlinear Regression}

\subsection*{11.1 ESTIMATION FOR NONLINEAR MEAN FUNCTIONS}

\subsection*{11.2 INFERENCE ASSUMING LARGE SAMPLES}
\(\mathbf{R}\) and S-Plus The command nls is used to obtain OLS and wLS estimates for nonlinear regression models. nls differs from lm in a few key respects:
1. The formula for defining a model is different. In lm, a typical formula is \(\mathrm{Y} \sim \mathrm{X} 1+\mathrm{X} 2+\mathrm{X} 3+\mathrm{X} 4\). This formula specifies the names of the response and the terms, but not the names of the parameters because to each term there is an associated parameter, or parameters for a factor. For a nls model, the formula specifies both terms and parameters. A typical example might be \(\mathrm{Y} \sim \operatorname{th} 1+\operatorname{th} 2 *(1-\exp (-\operatorname{th} 3 * x))\). In this case there are three parameters, th1, th2 and th3, but only one term, x . The right-hand side formula should be an expression of parameters, terms, and numbers that can be evaluated by the computer language \(C\).
2. Factors are generally not used with nls.
3. A named list start of starting values must be specified. This serves the dual purpose of telling the algorithm where to start, and also to name the parameters. For the example, start=list( \(\operatorname{th} 1=620\), \(\operatorname{th} 2=200\), \(\operatorname{th} 3=10\) ) indicates that th1, th2 and th3 are parameters, and so by implication the remaining quantity, \(x\), must be a predictor variable.
4. Most iterative algorithms for nonlinear least squares require computation of derivatives, and many programs require the user to provide formulas for the derivatives. This is not the case in nls; all derivatives are computed using numerical differentiation.

Here is the input leading to ALR[T11.2]
```

> n1 <- nls(Gain ~ th1 + th2*(1-exp(-th3 * A)), data=turk0,

+ start=list(th1=620, th2=200, th3=10))
summary(n1)
Formula: Gain ~ th1 + th2 * (1 - exp(-th3 * A))
Parameters:
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
th1 622.96 5.90 105.57 < 2e-16
th2 178.25 11.64 15.32 2.7e-16
th3 7.12 1.21 5.91 1.4e-06
Residual standard error: 19.7 on 32 degrees of freedom
Number of iterations to convergence: 4
Achieved convergence tolerance: 1.48e-06

```

After loading the data, we called nls with the required arguments of a formula and starting values. In addition, we specified a data frame. R has many other arguments to nls, including several that are identical with arguments of the same name in lm, including subset for selecting cases, and na. action for setting the missing value action. A few arguments are used to change the details of the computing algorithm; see the help page for nls.

The printed output from the summary method is also similar to the output from ls, as described in ALR[11.2]. The "correlation of parameter estimates" is the matrix ALR[E11.14] rescaled as a correlation matrix.

Similar to an object created by the lm command, objects created by nls have summary, plot and predict methods, and these are used in a way that is similar to linear regression models. For example, ALR[F11.2] is obtained by
```

> with(turk0, plot(A, Gain, xlab="Amount (percent of diet)",

+ ylab="Weight gain, g"))
> x <- (0:44)/100
> lines(x,predict(n1,data.frame(A=x)))

```

Lack-of-fit testing is possible if there are repeated observations. The idea is to compare the nonlinear fit to the one-way analysis of variance, using the levels of the predictor as a grouping variable:
```

> m1 <- lm(Gain ~ as.factor(A), turk0)
> anova(n1,m1)

```
```

Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Gain ~ th1 + th2 * (1 - exp(-th3 * A))
Model 2: Gain ~ as.factor(A)
Res.Df Res.Sum Sq Df Sum Sq F value Pr(>F)
1 32 12367
2 29 9824 3 2544 2.5

```

The model m1 is a linear model, while n 1 is a nonlinear model. Even so, the anova will correctly compare them, giving the \(F\) test for lack of fit.

Unlike lm, nls does not allow factors in a formula. To use factors, you need to create dummy variables for the various levels of the factor and use these.

For example, consider fitting the models ALR[11.17]-ALR[11.19]. The data frame turkey includes a variable \(S\) that is a factor with three levels for the source of the dietary addtive. Weighted least squares is required. We can apply \(\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{E} 5.8]\) to get nls to get WLS estimates. We have \(y=g(\theta, x)+e / \sqrt{w}\) where the \(e\) 's have constant variance, so the \(y\) 's have variance \(\sigma^{2} / w\). Multiply both sides of the mean function by \(\sqrt{w}\) to get \(\sqrt{w} y=\sqrt{w} g(\theta, x)+e\) so we can get WLS estimates in the original problem by getting ols estimates with \(\sqrt{w} g(\theta, x)\) as the kernel mean function, and \(\sqrt{w} y\) as the response.

The code for fitting the four models using WLS is given next. The vector \(m\) is the number of pens at each treatment combination, and is the vector of weights for this problem, \(s\) is the factor, and Gain is the response variable.
```


# create the indicators for the categories of S

turkey$S1 <- turkey$S2 <- turkey$S3 <- rep(0,dim(turkey)[1])
turkey$S1[turkey$S==1] <- 1
turkey$S2[turkey$S==2] <- 1
turkey$S3[turkey\$S==3] <- 1

# compute the weighted response

turkey$wGain <- sqrt(turkey$m) * turkey\$Gain

# fit the models

# common regressions

m4 <- nls(wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 + th2*(1-exp(-th3 * A))),
data=turkey, start=list(th1=620, th2=200, th3=10))

# most general

m1 <- nls( wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (S1 * (th11 + th21 *
(1 - exp (-th31 * A))) +
S2 * (th12 + th22 * (1 - exp (-th32 * A)))+
S3 * (th13 + th23*(1 - exp(-th33 * A)))),
data=turkey, start= list(th11=620, th12=620, th13=620,
th21=200, th22=200, th23=200,
th31=10, th32=10, th33=10))

# common intercept

m2 <- nls(wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 +
S1 * (th21 * (1 - exp(-th31 * A)))+

```
```

+ S2 * (th22 * (1 - exp(-th32 * A)))+
S3 * (th23 * (1 - exp(-th33 * A)))),
data=turkey, start= list(th1=620,
th21=200, th22=200, th23=200,
th31=10, th32=10, th33=10))


# common intercept and asymptote

m3 <- nls( wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 + th2 * (
S1 * (1 - exp(-th31 * A))+
S2 * (1 - exp(-th32 * A))+
S3 * (1 - exp(-th33 * A)))),
data=turkey,start= list(th1=620, th2=200,
th31=10, th32=10, th33=10))

```

We have now created the dummy variables, weighted response, and the models with different mean functions. If there were no weights, we could leave off the sqrt(w) in the above statements, and use Gain, not wGain, as the response. In each of the models we had to specify starting values for all the parameters. The starting values we use essentially assume no group differences. Here are the anova tables to compare the models:
```

> anova(m4,m2,m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 + th2 * (1 - exp(-th3 * A)))
Model 2: wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 + S1 * (th21 * (1 - exp(-th31 * A)))
+ S2 * (th22 * (1 - exp(-th32 * A)))
+ S3 * (th23 * (1 - exp(-th33 * A))))
Model 3: wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (S1 * (th11 + th21 * (1 - exp(-th31 * A)))
+ S2 * (th12 + th22 * (1 - exp(-th32 * A)))
+ S3 * (th13 + th23 * (1 - exp(-th33 * A))))
Res.Df Res.Sum Sq Df Sum Sq F value Pr(>F)

| 1 | 10 | 4326 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 6 | 2040 | 4 | 2286 | 1.68 | 0.27 |
| 3 | 4 | 1151 | 2 | 889 | 1.54 | 0.32 |

anova(m4,m3,m1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 + th2 * (1 - exp(-th3 * A)))
Model 2: wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (th1 + th2 * (S1 * (1 - exp(-th31 * A))
+S2 * (1 - exp(-th32 * A)) + S3 * (1 - exp(-th33 * A))))
Model 3: wGain ~ sqrt(m) * (S1 * (th11 + th21 * (1 - exp(-th31 * A)))
+ S2 * (th12 + th22 * (1 - exp(-th32 * A)))
+ S3 * (th13 + th23 * (1 - exp(-th33 * A))))
Res.Df Res.Sum Sq Df Sum Sq F value Pr(>F)

| 1 | 10 | 4326 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 8 | 2568 | 2 | 1758 | 2.74 | 0.12 |
| 3 | 4 | 1151 | 4 | 1417 | 1.23 | 0.42 |

```

These tests are interpreted as in ALR[6.2.2].

\subsection*{11.3 BOOTSTRAP INFERENCE}
\(\mathbf{R}\) The bootstrap can be done using the same bootCase command used for linear models. For example, to get the bootstrap leading to ALR[F11.5], using R
```

> smod <- C ~ th0 + th1 * (pmax(0,Temp-gamma))
> s1 <- nls(smod, data=segreg, data = segreg,

+ start=list(th0=70, th1=.5, gamma=40))
> set.seed(10131985)
> s1.boot <- bootCase(s1, B=999)

```
s1.boot will consist only of a matrix with 999 rows and 3 columns, one row for each replication, and one column for each parameter, and summarizing the bootstrap is your responsibility. The summary used in ALR uses the scatterplot.matrix command in the car package.
```

> scatterplot.matrix(s1.boot, diagonal="histogram",

+ lwd=0.7, pch=".",
+ labels=c(expression(theta[1]), expression(theta[2]),
+ expression(gamma)),
+ ellipse=FALSE, smooth=TRUE, level=c(.90))

```

Numerical summaries can also be appropriate, for example,
```

> s1.boot.summary <-

+ data.frame(rbind(
+ apply(s1.boot, 2, mean),
+ apply(s1.boot, 2, sd),
+ apply(s1.boot, 2, function(x){quantile(x, c(.025, .975))})))
> row.names(s1.boot.summary)<-c("Mean", "SD","2.5%", "97.5%")
> s1.boot.summary

|  | th0 | th1 | gamma |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 74.8407 | 0.60701 | 43.1235 |
| SD | 1.4453 | 0.11833 | 4.6156 |
| $2.5 \%$ | 72.0214 | 0.46359 | 36.8698 |
| $97.5 \%$ | 77.5957 | 0.97129 | 55.0744 |

```

Here we combined the summaries into a data frame so they would be pretty when printed. The apply command is used repeatedly to apply functions to the columns of s1.boot, and we summarize with the mean, SD, and \(95 \%\) percentile-based confidence interval for each parameter.

\subsection*{11.4 REFERENCES}
Logistic Regression

Both logistic regression and the normal linear models that we have discussed in earlier chapters are examples of generalized linear models. Many programs, including SAS and R have procedures that can be applied to any generalized linear model. Both JMP and SPSS seem to have separate procedures for logistic regression. There is a possible source of confusion in the name. Both SPSS and SAS use the name general linear model to indicate a relatively complex linear model, possibly with continuous terms, covariates, interactions, and possibly even random effects, but with normal errors. Thus the general linear model is a special case of the generalized linear models.

\subsection*{12.1 BINOMIAL REGRESSION}

\subsection*{12.1.1 Mean Functions for Binomial Regression}

\subsection*{12.2 FITTING LOGISTIC REGRESSION}
\(\mathbf{R}\) The key command in fitting a generalized linear model is glm. We will only consider the logistic regression case, but only minor changes are required for other cases.

Here is a general form for the glm command, illustrating the basic arguments available for binomial regression:
```

> glm(formula, family = binomial(link="logit"), data, weights,

+ subset, na.action, start = NULL)

```

The arguments data, weights, subset and na.action are used in the same way they are used in lm. The family argument is new, and the formula argument is a little different than its use in lm or nls. There are a few other arguments, mostly modifying the computational algorithm, that will probably be rarely needed.

As in ALR[12.1.1], let \(\theta(\mathbf{x})\) be the probability of success given the value of the terms \(X\) in the mean function equal \(\mathbf{x}\). According to the logistic regression model, we have from ALR[E12.7]
\[
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\frac{\theta(\mathbf{x})}{1-\theta(\mathbf{x})}\right)=\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

The quantity on the right of this equation is called the linear predictor. The quantity on the left depends on the link function (or equivalently, the kernel mean function) used in fitting, which in this case is the logit link, the inverse of the logistic kernel mean function discussed in ALR[10.1.1].

The formula for a glm is a two-sided formula. The right-hand side of this formula is the linear predictor, equivalent to \(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}\) in 12.1). The left-hand side is either: (1) if the number of trials is always equal to one for each case, then the left-hand side is just the the response variable, a vector of zeroes and ones. (2) If the number of trials is not always equal to one, then the left-hand side is a matrix with two columns, the first column giving the number of successes and the second giving the number of failures.

The family argument is the name of an error distribution, which is binomial for binomial regression. Other choices like Poisson and gaussian are used for Poisson errors and normal errors, respectively. As an argument to the family, you set the link function. The only link discussed in ALR is the logit link for logistic regression. Since this is the default, it need not be specified. See \(\mathrm{VR}[7]\) for a more general discussion.

\subsection*{12.2.1 One-predictor example}
\(\mathbf{R}\) For ALR[T12.1], here are the computations:
```

> m1 <- glm(y ~ logb(D, 2), family=binomial, data=blowBF)
> summary(m1)
Call:
glm(formula = y ~ logb(D, 2), family = binomial, data = blowBF)
Deviance Residuals:

| Min | $1 Q$ | Median | 3Q | Max |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -2.507 | -0.757 | -0.494 | 0.810 | 2.327 |

Coefficients:
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)

```
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
(Intercept) & -7.892 & 0.633 & -12.5 & \(<2 \mathrm{e}-16\) \\
\(\operatorname{logb}(\mathrm{D}, 2)\) & 2.263 & 0.191 & 11.8 & \(<2 \mathrm{e}-16\)
\end{tabular}
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
    Null deviance: 856.21 on 658 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 655.24 on 657 degrees of freedom
AIC: 659.2
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

The linear predictor used consists of the base-two logarithm of \(D\). The family must be specified, because the default is family=gaussian, but the link can be skipped because the default is "logit".

Here are the commands to draw \(\operatorname{ALR}[\mathrm{F} 12.1 \mathrm{~A}]\).
```

> with(blowBF, {

+ plot(jitter(log2(D), amount=.05),
+ jitter(y, amount=0.02), pch=20,
+ xlab=expression(paste("(a) ",Log[2](Diameter))),
+ ylab="Blowdown indicator")
+ xx <- seq(min(D), max(D), length=100)
+ abline(lm(y~ log2(D)), lty=1)
+ lo.fit <- loess(y ~ log2(D), degree=1)
+ lines(log2(xx), predict(lo.fit, data.frame(D=xx)), lty=3)
+ lines(log2(xx), predict(m1, data.frame(D=xx), type="response"), lty=2)
+ })

```

(a) \(\log _{2}\) (Diameter)

The data are jittered in plot to avoid over-plotting. The with function is used so variable names need not specify the name of the data frame. We have used it here with many commands by surrounding them with \(\{\) and \(\}\). The vector xx will be used in plotting fitted values. First, the ols line is added to the plot. Then the loess function was used to get a smoother, and it is added to the plot. Then, the fitted values from the model m1 are added to the plot.

ALR[F12.1B] uses the sm.density command in the sm package.
```

> library(sm)
> with(blowBF, {

+ sm.density.compare(log2(D), y, lty=c(1,2),
+ xlab=expression(paste("(b) ", log[2](D))))
+ legend("topright",legend=c("Y=0", "Y=1"), lty=c(1,2),cex=0.8)
+ })

```

(b) \(\log _{2}(D)\)

\subsection*{12.2.2 Many Terms}
\(\mathbf{R}\) Here are the statements to get the models shown in ALR[T12.2]:
```

> m2 <- glm(y ~ log2(D) + S, family=binomial, data=blowBF)
> m3 <- update(m2,~ . + log(D):S)
> summary(m2)
Call:
glm(formula = y ~ log2(D) + S, family = binomial, data = blowBF)
Deviance Residuals:

```

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
Null deviance: 856.2 on 658 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 563.9 on 656 degrees of freedom AIC: 569.9

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
> summary (m3)
Call:
glm(formula \(=\) y ~ \(\log 2(D)+S+S: \log (D), f a m i l y=\) binomial data \(=\) blowBF)

Deviance Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
-3.344 & -0.614 & -0.412 & 0.382 & 2.356
\end{tabular}

Coefficients:
Estimate Std. Error z value \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|z|)\)
(Intercept)
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\(\log 2(D)\) & 0.401 & 0.439 & 0.91 & 0.3614
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
S & -11.205 & 3.638 & -3.08 & 0.0021 \\
S:log (D) & 7.085 & 1.645 & 4.31 & \(1.70-05\)
\end{tabular}
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 856.21 on 658 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 541.75 on 655 degrees of freedom AIC: 549.7

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
\(\operatorname{ALR}[12.2 .2]\) also includes several non-standard graphics. ALR[F12.2A] uses sm.density, as illustrated previously, and ALR[F12.2B] is just an ordinary scatterplot, but uses jittering. ALR[F12.3] shows contours on constant esti-
mated probability superimposed on the scatterplot of the data. Here are the commands for ALR[F12.3B].
```

with(blowBF, {

+ xa <- seq(min(D), max(D), len=99)
+ ya <- seq(.01, .99, len=99)
+ za <- matrix(nrow=99, ncol=99)
+ for (i in 1:99) {
+ za[,i] <- predict(m3, data.frame(D=rep(xa[i], 99), S=ya),
type="response")}
+ contour(log(xa), ya, za,
+ xlab=expression(paste("(b) ", log[2](D))), ylab="S")
+ points(jitter(log2(D), amount=.04), S,pch=y + 1, cex=.5)
+ })

```


We first defined a grid xa for the horizontal axis for \(D\), ya for the vertical axis for \(S\). za is then defined as a (very large) matrix giving the predicted value for each combination (xa, ya). The contour command is then used to draw the probability contours based on this matrix. We needed to adjust the horizontal axis to be the base-two logarithm of xa. The jittered points were then added.

The plots in ALR[F12.5] are considerably simpler. Here is the second one.
```

> xx <- with(blowBF, seq(min(log2(D)), max(log2(D)), length=100))
> plot(2^(xx), exp(coef(m3)[3]/10 + coef(m3)[4] * xx/10), type="l",

+ xlab="(b) D", ylab="Odds multiplier")

```


\subsection*{12.2.3 Deviance}
\(\mathbf{R}\) Comparing models uses the anova just as with lm models. For the three models fit to the Balsam Fir blowdown data,
```

> anova(m1, m2, m3, test="Chisq")
Analysis of Deviance Table
Model 1: y ~ logb(D, 2)
Model 2: y ~ log2(D) + S
Model 3: y ~ log2(D) + S + S:log(D)
Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance P(>|Chi|)
1 657
2 656 564 1 91.3 < 2e-16
3 655 542 1 22.2 2.5e-06

```

We specified test="Chisq") to compare the change in deviance to the Chisquared distribution to get significance levels. The default is no significance levels.

\subsection*{12.2.4 Goodness of Fit Tests}
\(\mathbf{R}\) The Titanic data uses binomial, rather than binary regression, since the number of trails exceeds one. Here is the syntax:
\(>m 1<-\operatorname{glm}(c b i n d(S u r v, N-S u r v) ~ ~ C l a s s ~+~ A g e ~+~ S e x, ~ d a t a=t i t a n i c, ~\)
+ family=binomial)

The response is specified as a matrix whose first column is the number of survivors, and whose second column is the number of deaths.

\subsection*{12.3 BINOMIAL RANDOM VARIABLES}

\subsection*{12.3.1 Maximum likelihood estimation}

\subsection*{12.3.2 The Log-likelihood for Logistic Regression}

\subsection*{12.4 GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS}

\section*{Problems}

R 12.5.1. The pairs will accept a matrix (or data frame) for its first argument, and the argument col can be used to set the colors of the points. You can also use scatterplotMatrix in the car package.

\section*{Appendix A}
A. 1 WEB SITE

\section*{A. 2 MEANS AND VARIANCES OF RANDOM VARIABLES}

\section*{A.2.1 E notation}

\section*{A.2.2 Var notation}
A.2.3 Cov notation

\section*{A.2.4 Conditional moments}

\section*{A. 3 LEAST SQUARES FOR SIMPLE REGRESSION}

\section*{A. 4 MEANS AND VARIANCES OF LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES}

\section*{A. 5 ESTIMATING \(\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{Y} \mid \boldsymbol{X})\) USING A SMOOTHER}

R A bewildering array of options are available for smoothing, both in the base programs, and in packages available from others. In ALR, we have almost
always used the loess smoother or an older version of it called lowess \({ }^{11}\). There is no particular reason to prefer this smoother over other smoothers. For the purposes to which we put smoothers, mainly to help us look at scatterplots, the choice of smoother is probably not very important.

The important problem of choosing a smoothing parameter is generally ignored in ALR; one of the nice features of loess is that choosing the smoothing parameter of about \(2 / 3\) usually gives good answers. R have several methods for selecting a smoothing parameter; see VR[8.7], and the references given in \(\operatorname{ALR}[A .5]\), for more information.

The lowess is used to draw ALR[FA.1]
```

> with(ufcwc, {

+ plot(Height ~ Dbh)
+ abline(lm(Height ~ Dbh),lty=3)
+ lines(lowess(Dbh, Height, iter=1, f=.1), lty=4)
+ lines(lowess(Dbh, Height, iter=1, f=2/3), lty=1)
+ lines(lowess(Dbh, Height, iter=1, f=.95), lty=2)
+ legend(700,200, legend=c("f=.1","f=2/3", "f=.95", "OLS"),
+ lty=c(4, 1, 2, 3))
+ })

```

We have used the lines helper to add the lowess fits to the graph, with different values of the smoothing argument, f. We set the argument iter to one rather than the default value of three.

More or less the same figure can be obtained using loess.
```

with(ufcwc, {

+ plot(Dbh, Height)
+ abline(lm(Height ~ Dbh), lty=3)
+ new <- seq(100, 1000, length=100)
+ m1 <- loess(Height ~ Dbh, degree=1, span=.1)
+ m2 <- loess(Height ~ Dbh, degree=1, span=2/3)
+ m3 <- loess(Height ~ Dbh, degree=1, span=.95)
+ lines(new, predict(m1, data.frame(Dbh=new)), lty=4, col="cyan")
+ lines(new, predict(m2, data.frame(Dbh=new)), lty=1, col="red")
+ lines(new, predict(m3, data.frame(Dbh=new)), lty=2, col="blue")
+ legend(700, 200, legend=c("f=.1", "f=2/3", "f=.95", "OLS"),
+ lty=c(4, 1, 2, 3))
+ })

```
loess expects a formula. degree \(=1\) uses local linear, rather than quadratic, smoothing, to match lowess. The span argument is like the \(f\) argument for lowess.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) loess and lowess have different defaults, and so they will give the same answers only if they are set to use the same tuning parameters.
}

ALR[FA.2] with the standard deviation smooths was drawn using the following commands.
```

> with(ufcwc, {

+ plot(Dbh, Height)
+ loess.fit <- loess(Height ~ Dbh, degree=1, span=2/3) \# gives same answers
+ sqres <- residuals(loess.fit)^2
+ loess.var.fit <- loess(sqres ~ Dbh, degree=1, span=2/3)
+ new <- seq(min(Dbh), max(Dbh), length=100)
+ lines(new, predict(loess.fit, data.frame(Dbh=new)))
+ lines(new, predict(loess.fit, data.frame(Dbh=new)) +
+ sqrt(predict(loess.var.fit, data.frame(Dbh=new))), lty=2)
+ lines(new, predict(loess.fit,data.frame(Dbh=new)) -
+ sqrt(predict(loess.var.fit, data.frame(Dbh=new))), lty=2)
+ })

```

We used the loess command rather than lowess because loess has a method for the residuals helper function.

\section*{A. 6 A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO MATRICES AND VECTORS}
\(\mathbf{R} \quad \mathrm{VR}[3.9]\) gives a good summary of the most commonly used matrix commands. These are also discussed in the documentation that comes with the two programs, or in various help pages available in the program.

\section*{A.6.1 Addition and subtraction}

\section*{A.6.2 Multiplication by a scalar}

\section*{A.6.3 Matrix multiplication}
A.6.4 Transpose of a matrix
A.6.5 Inverse of a matrix
A.6.6 Orthogonality
A.6.7 Linear dependence and rank of a matrix

\section*{A. 7 RANDOM VECTORS}

\section*{A. 8 LEAST SQUARES USING MATRICES}

\section*{A.8.1 Properties of estimates}

\section*{A.8.2 The residual sum of squares}

\section*{A.8.3 Estimate of variance}

\section*{A. 9 THE \(Q R\) FACTORIZATION}
\(\mathbf{R}\) These programs compute the QR factorization using Lapack routines, or the slightly older but equally good Linpack routines. We illustrate the use of these routines with a very small example.
```

> X <- matrix(c(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 8, 1, 5, 4, 6), ncol=3,

+ byrow=FALSE)
> y <- c(2, 3, -2, 0)
> X

|  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[1]$, | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $[2]$, | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| $[3]$, | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| $[4]$, | 1 | 8 | 6 |

> y
[1] 2 3 -2 0
> QR <- qr(X)

```

Here \(X\) is a \(4 \times 3\) matrix, and \(y\) is a \(4 \times 1\) vector. To use the QR factorization, we start with the command qr. This does not find \(Q\) or \(R\) explicitly but it
does return a structure that contains all the information needed to compute these quantities, and there are helper functions to return \(Q\) and \(R\) :
```

> qr.Q(QR)

|  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[1]$, | -0.5 | -0.27854 | 0.7755 |
| $[2]$, | -0.5 | -0.46424 | -0.6215 |
| $[3]$, | -0.5 | -0.09285 | -0.0605 |
| $[4]$, | -0.5 | 0.83563 | -0.0935 |

> qr.R(QR)
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] -2 -7.000 -8.000
[2,] 0
[3,] 0}00.000-3.13

```
\(Q\) is a \(4 \times 3\) matrix with orthogonal columns, and \(R\) is is a \(3 \times 3\) upper triangular matrix. These matrices are rarely computed in full like this. Rather, other helper functions are used.

In the regression context, there are three helpers that return quantities related to linear regression, using ALR[EA.25-EA.27].
> qr.coef ( \(\mathrm{QR}, \mathrm{y}\) )
[1] \(1.7325-0.3509 \quad 0.0614\)
> qr.fitted (QR,y)
[1] \(1.0921 \quad 1.6886 \quad 0.9254-0.7061\)
> qr.resid(QR,y)
[1] \(0.9079 \quad 1.3114-2.9254 \quad 0.7061\)
qr.coef computes ALR[EA.26], qr.fitted computes the fitted values \(Q Q^{\prime} y\), and qr.resid computes residuals \(y-Q Q^{\prime} y\).

The backsolve command is used to solve triangular systems of equations, as described in ALR[A.9].

The basic linear regression routine 1 m uses the QR factorization to obtain estimates, standard errors, residuals and fitted values.

\title{
A. 10 MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES
}

\section*{A. 11 THE BOX-COX METHOD FOR TRANSFORMATIONS}
A.11.1 Univariate case
A.11.2 Multivariate case
A. 12 CASE DELETION IN LINEAR REGRESSION
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Getting the path right can be frustrating. If you are using $R$, select File $\rightarrow$ Change dir, and then use BROWSE to select the directory that includes your data file. In read.table you can then specify just the file name without the path.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Experienced users can read about, and install the the dcom package for using R with Excel; see cran.r-project.org/contrib/extra/dcom/.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although not used in the example, Mheight [!sel] would select the elements of Mheight with sel equal to FALSE.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although we haven't yet discussed factors, an added-variable plot will also be displayed for each of the contrasts that makes up a factor, and these are probably not of much interest.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ As with many things in $R$, there are many ways to do this plot, and I would not be surprised to learn that there is a much easier way.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ If some of the rounded powers had been negative, the Box-Cox powers might be preferred to avoid changing the sign of the corresponding regression coefficient.

