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The univariate normal distribution plays a key role in univariate statistics.

$$
x \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

means that $x$ has normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Standardized $x$ is $z=(x-\mu) / \sigma$. The density of $x$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x ; \mu, \sigma^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu) \sigma^{-2}(x-\mu)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$


$z \sim \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$ follows a standard normal distribution.
$z^{2}=(x-\mu)^{2} / \sigma^{2}$ is $\chi_{1}^{2}$ (chi squared with 1 degree of freedom).
$E\left(z^{2}\right)=1 . \operatorname{Var}\left(z^{2}\right)=2 . P\left(z^{2}>k\right)=P(|z|>\sqrt{k})$, which we can get from a normal table. For example, $P\left(z^{2}>3.84\right)=P(|z|>1.96)=.05$.
Suppose that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}$ are independent normals with expectations $\mu_{i}$ and variances $\sigma_{i}^{2}$.
Let $x$ be the vector with elements $x_{i}$; let $\mu$ be the vector with elements $\mu_{i}$; and let $\Sigma$ be the diagonal matrix with elements $\sigma_{i}^{2}=\sigma_{i i}$.
What is the density of $x$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x ; \mu, \Sigma) & =f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p} ; \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{p}^{2}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{i}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{i}\right) \sigma_{i}^{-2}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{p / 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \sigma_{i}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{i}\right) \sigma_{i}^{-2}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{p / 2}} \frac{1}{|\Sigma| \cdot 5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multivariate normal distribution. Let $x$ and $\mu$ be $p$-vectors, and let $\Sigma$ be a symmetric, positive definite matrix.

$$
x \sim \mathrm{~N}_{p}(\mu, \Sigma)
$$

means that $x$ follows the multivariate normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\Sigma$. The density is

$$
f(x ; \mu, \Sigma)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{p / 2}} \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{5}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}
$$

Standard multivariate normal has $\mu=0$ and $\Sigma=\mathbf{I}_{p}$.
Some facts:
$E[x]=\mu$
$\operatorname{Var}[x]=\Sigma$
$(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^{\prime}\right)$ has a $\chi_{p}^{2}$ distribution, with expected value $p$ and variance $2 p$. Mode at $\mu$; all level curves are ellipses centered at $\mu$.
If $q^{2}$ is the upper $\alpha$ percent point of a $\chi_{p}^{2}$, then the ellipse $(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) \leq q^{2}$ describes a region with probability $1-\alpha$.

$$
p=2, \mu=(1,1)^{\prime}, \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
.16 & -.12 \\
-.12 & .16
\end{array}\right]
$$

Shown from $(4,4)$ direction.


$$
p=2, \mu=(1,1)^{\prime}, \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
.16 & -.12 \\
-.12 & .16
\end{array}\right]
$$

Contours at $2^{2}, 1^{2}, .5^{2}$ (probabilities .865, .393, and .117).
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## Properties of the Multivariate Normal.

All marginal distributions are normal.
Divide $x$ into its first $p_{1}$ elements and its remaining $p_{2}=p-p_{1}$ elements: $x^{\prime}=\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ Partition $\mu$ and $\Sigma$ in the same way (subscripts on $x$ and $\mu$ now indicate the partition instead of the individual element)

$$
\mu=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{1} \\
\mu_{2}
\end{array}\right], \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\
\Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$x_{i} \sim \mathrm{~N}_{p_{i}}\left(\mu_{i}, \Sigma_{i i}\right)$
$\mu_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ are $p_{1}$ vectors.
$\mu_{2}$ and $x_{2}$ are $p_{2}$ vectors.
$\Sigma_{11}$ is $p_{1} \times p_{1}$.
$\Sigma_{12}$ is $p_{1} \times p_{2}$.
$\Sigma_{21}=\Sigma_{12}^{\prime}$ is $p_{2} \times p_{1}$.
$\Sigma_{22}$ is $p_{2} \times p_{2}$.
$x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are independent if $\Sigma_{12}=0$.
All linear combinations are normal.
Let $\mathbf{B}$ be $q \times p$, then

$$
\mathbf{B} x \sim \mathrm{~N}_{q}\left(\mathbf{B} \mu, \mathbf{B} \Sigma \mathbf{B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $\Sigma=\mathbf{U} \Lambda \mathbf{U}^{\prime}$ is the spectral decomposition of $\Sigma$, then $v=\mathbf{U}^{\prime} x$ has distribution

$$
\mathbf{U}^{\prime} x \sim \mathbf{N}_{p}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime} \mu, \mathbf{U}^{\prime} \Sigma \mathbf{U}\right)=\mathbf{N}_{p}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\prime} \mu, \Lambda\right)
$$

In particular, $v$ has independent components.
All conditional distributions are normal.
$x_{2} \mid x_{1}$ is normal with mean

$$
\mu_{2 \bullet 1}=\mu_{2}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1}\left(x_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)
$$

and variance

$$
\Sigma_{22 \bullet 1}=\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}
$$

This is a linear regression of $x_{2}$ on $x_{1}$
$\beta_{2 \bullet 1}=\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1}$ is a $p_{2} \times p_{1}$ matrix of regression coefficients.
$\Sigma_{2 \bullet 1}$ does not depend on $x_{1}$.
Try these out with $p_{1}=p_{2}=1$ and compare with simple linear regression.

| Cmd> Sigma $<-.5^{\wedge}\left(\operatorname{abs}\left(\right.\right.$ run $(4)$-run $\left.\left.(4)^{\prime}\right)\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cmd> Sigma |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |
| $(1,1)$ | 1 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.125 |
| $(2,1)$ | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| $(3,1)$ | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| $(4,1)$ | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 |

```
Cmd> g1 <- vector(1,2); g2 <- vector(3,4)
Cmd> Sigma11 <- Sigma[g1,g1]
Cmd> Sigma12 <- Sigma[g1,g2]
```

Cmd> Sigma21 <- Sigma $[g 2, g 1]$
Cmd> Sigma22 <- Sigma $[g 2, g 2]$
Cmd> Sigma21\%*\%solve(Sigma11)

| $(1,1)$ | 0 | 0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $(2,1)$ | 0 | 0.25 |

Cmd> Sigma22-Sigma21\%*\%solve(Sigma11) \% * \% Sigma12

| $(1,1)$ | 0.75 | 0.375 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lll}(2,1) & 0.375 & 0.9375\end{array}$
Cmd> Sigma <- dmat $(4,1)+r e p(1,4) * r e p(1,4)$ '
Cmd> Sigma

| $(1,1)$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(2,1)$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| $(3,1)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $(4,1)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Cmd> Sigma11 <- Sigma $[91, g 1]$
Cmd> Sigma12 <- Sigma $[91, g 2]$
Cmd> Sigma21 <- Sigma $[92, g 1]$

| Cmd> | Sigma21\%*\%solve |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1$, Sigma11) $)$ |  |  |
| $(2,1)$ | 0.33333 | 0.33333 |
|  | 0.33333 | 0.33333 |

Cmd> Sigma22-Sigma21\%*\%solve(Sigma11) \% * \% Sigma12

| $(1,1)$ | 1.3333 | 0.33333 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(2,1)$ | 0.33333 | 1.3333 |

Let $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{n}$ be idependent with $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{i}$ having distribution $\mathrm{N}_{p}\left(\mu_{i}, \Sigma\right)$. Then

$$
V_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{i} \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \mu_{i},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}\right) \Sigma\right)
$$

If $V_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{i}$, then $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are jointly normal with covariance

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i} c_{i}\right) \Sigma
$$

This is completely analogous to the univariate situation.
Sampling Distribution. Suppose that $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{i}$ are iid $N_{p}(\mu, \Sigma)$. Then $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ has distribution

$$
\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \frac{1}{n} \Sigma\right)
$$

$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ is an unbiased estimate of $\mu$ and is also the maximum likelihood estimate of $\mu$. This is completely analogous to the univariate situation.

$$
\mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

is an unbiased estimate of $\Sigma$, and $\frac{n-1}{n} \mathbf{S}$ is the maximum likelihood estimate of $\Sigma$. $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ are independent.
This is completely analogous to the univariate situation.
If $z_{i}$ are $i i d \mathrm{~N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ (univariate), then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{n}^{2}
$$

If $z_{i}$ are $i i d \mathrm{~N}(0, \Sigma)$ (p-variate), the

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} z_{i}^{\prime} \sim W_{n}(\Sigma)
$$

which is a Wishart distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom and parameter $\Sigma$.
$(n-1) \mathbf{S} \sim W_{n-1}(\Sigma)$
Wishart density only exists if degrees of freedom greater than dimension.
Let $\mathbf{V}_{1} \sim W_{n}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{V}_{2} \sim W_{m}(\Sigma)$, then

$$
\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{V}_{2} \sim W_{n+m}(\Sigma)
$$

(df add if $\Sigma$ matches).

$$
\mathbf{C V}_{1} \mathbf{C}^{\prime} \sim W_{n}\left(\mathbf{C} \Sigma \mathbf{C}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Law of large numbers

$x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are $p$-variate $i i d$ from a population with mean $\mu$.
Then $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ converges (in probability) to $\mu$ as $n$ tends to infinity.
If $\Sigma$ exists, then $S$ converges to $\Sigma$ in probability as $n$ tends to infinity.
$x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are $p$-variate $i i d$ from a population with mean $\mu$ and nonsingular variance $\Sigma$. Then

$$
\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}-\mu) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}(0, \Sigma)
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}-\mu)^{\prime} \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}-\mu) \rightarrow \chi_{p}^{2}
$$

as $n-p$ goes to infinity.
Multivariate Standardization.
$x$ has mean $\mu$ and variance $\Sigma$.
We want $\mathbf{C}$ so that

$$
z=\mathbf{C}(x-\mu)
$$

has mean 0 and variance $\mathbf{I}_{p}$ and is standardized.
$\mathbf{C}(x-\mu)$ has mean 0 and variance $\mathbf{C} \Sigma \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$, so we need $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathbf{C} \Sigma \mathbf{C}^{\prime}=\mathbf{I}_{p}$.
We want to write $\Sigma=\mathbf{B B}^{\prime}$ for some nonsingular $\mathbf{B}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{B}^{-1} \Sigma\left(\mathbf{B}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\mathbf{I}_{p}
$$

so $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is what we need.
One choice derived from the spectral decomposition of $\Sigma$ is

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{U} \Lambda^{.5} \mathbf{U}^{\prime}
$$

This is a symmetric square root.
There are other choices, so the multivariate standardization is not unique.
Another common choice:

$$
\Sigma=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{U}^{\prime} \mathbf{U}
$$

where U is upper triangular.
This is called the Cholesky Decomposition of $\Sigma$.
$z$ is not unique, but

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z\|^{2} & =z^{\prime} z=(x-\mu)^{\prime} \mathbf{C}^{\prime} \mathbf{C}(x-\mu) \\
& =(x-\mu)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{B}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-1}(x-\mu) \\
& =(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

is unique.
$\|z\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} z_{i}^{2} \sim \chi_{p}^{2}$, showing that $(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) \sim \chi_{p}^{2}$.
Standarizing, or at least diagonalizing the covariance matrix, is often the beginning of understanding in multivariate.

Cmd> Sigma <- matrix(vector(16,-12,-12,16),2)
Cmd> Sigma

| $(1,1)$ | 16 | -12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(2,1)$ | -12 | 16 |


| Cmd> c <- cholesky (Sigma) ; c |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $(1,1)$ | 4 | -3 |
| $(2,1)$ | 0 | 2.6458 |


| Cmd> $c^{\prime} \% * \% c$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $(1,1)$ | 16 | -12 |
| $(2,1)$ | -12 | 16 |

Cmd> eigout <- eigen(Sigma); $\backslash$
evec <- eigout\$vectors; \}
eval <- eigout\$values
Cmd> d <- evec\%*\%dmat (eval^. 5) \%*\%evec'

Cmd> d

| $(1,1)$ | 3.6458 | -1.6458 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(2,1)$ | -1.6458 | 3.6458 |

Cmd> d\%*\%d
$(1,1) \quad 16 \quad-12$
$(2,1)$
-12
16

